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## Low-energy section

energy threshold
of $20-30 \mathrm{GeV} \quad$ Medium Energies:
mCrab sensitivity

~23m telescopes
4-6 $6^{\circ} \mathrm{FoV}$
$0.08-0.12^{\circ}$ pixels
Parabolic/Hybrid f/D~1.2

## 12 m telescopes <br> SST

7-8 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{FoV}$
$0.16-0.18^{\circ}$ pixels $4-7 \mathrm{~m}$ telescopes
Hybrid $f / D=1.35$


## 4 The Gamma-ray Horizon



## 5 The Gamma-ray Horizon



## 6 Photon Statistics



## 7 Photon Statistics



## 8 Limitations



## 9 Limitations



## 10 Limitations



## 11 Angular resolution

- ~1' resolution achievable with next generation IACT arrays
- Fundamental limit is $\sim 10$ " above a few TeV



## 12 Resolution

Hydra A 啕University of

## M 82

## Cen A

SN 1006

## ${ }_{13}$ Requirements

- Area
- O(10 km²) at 100 TeV
- Energy Range
- ~1 TeV to >> 100 TeV
- Implies minimum dish diameter ~3 m (for $<150 \mathrm{~m}$ spacing)
- Inefficient for the SST to compete with the MST in the core energy range - aim for SST dominance of sensitivity between 5 and 10 TeV (trigger 1-3 TeV)
- Maximum energy?
> Aim to run out of stats. for $\sim 1$ Crab source before saturation
- Angular resolution / Background rejection
- As good as possible, BG free at lowest achievable energy (i.e. best sensitivity)


## 14 Special Considerations



Long, wide, offset, large time-spread images
Examples: Images in six $10^{\circ} \mathrm{FoV}\left(0.25^{\circ}\right.$ pixel) cameras ( $30 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ tels.) $\sim 500 \mathrm{~m}$ from the core of 14 TeV shower + VERITAS movie
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## 16 Array Options

- Huge phase-space available - start with some attractive solutions:

1) Share MST photosensor/mechanics and (possibly) readout electronics

- Scale down primary mirror $12 \mathrm{~m} \rightarrow 7 \mathrm{~m}$ to get from $0.18^{\circ} \rightarrow 0.25^{\circ}$ with reasonable f/D

2) Take plate-scale of cheaper photosensors ( $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and adapt optics to get primary as large as possible (e.g. f/D $\rightarrow 0.5$ )

- Two-mirror solution or light-cones+SiPMs: $\sim 4 \mathrm{~m} \varnothing$

NB: Decision point for 1 versus 2 reflectors - Oct 2011

## 17 Telescope Cost

Assumes: SO Cam Pixel Cost $=1 / 3 \times$ DC, SO Tel $/$ Mir $=3 \times$ DC


## 18 Telescope Cost



## 19 Optimisation

## For fixed area \& array cost



## ${ }^{20}$ Optimisation Attempt



## 21 Optimisation Attempt



## Full MC - Configuration E



- 23m (x4) $4.6^{\circ} \mathrm{FoV}$, $0.09^{\circ}$ pixels
- 12m (x23) $8^{\circ} \mathrm{FoV}$ $0.18^{\circ}$ pixels
- 7m (x32) $10^{\circ} \mathrm{FoV}$ $0.25^{\circ}$ pixels

Nominal cost 80Me

## 23 Production 1 Config Sens.



## 24 Point-source Sensitivity



## 25 Conclusions

- Unavoidable features of the SST
- Many telescopes
$\gg 6^{\circ} \mathrm{FoV}$
- Long event/integration times
- Optimisation
> Requires performance and cost information for individual components
, Detailed design work needed
- Can be done iteratively using Toy+Real MC once we have costed designs for different options
- Organisation...

