Progress with two-mirror design for high energy section of CTA

- Introduction.
- Mechanical design.
- Costing.
- **Summary**.



Tim Greenshaw, for Simon Blake, Centre for Advanced Instrumentation, Durham University

Mechanical design

- Design mechanical structure that can satisfy optical tolerances as well as CTA specifications, including:
- Normal operation, wind speed up to 50 km/h.
- Tracking prec. 6' and pointing 10".
- Max. elev. vel. 90/min.
- Max. elev. acc. $0.5/\sec^2$.
- Max. azim. vel. 180/min.
- Max. azim. acc. $1/\sec^2$.
- Wind 50...65 km/h, drive to safe position with 70% acc. and vel.
- Wind 65...100 km/h, drive to safe position with 10% acc. and vel.
- Max. survivable wind 180 km/h.

CTA two-mirror 4 m diameter telescope:



Mechanical design

- Principles:
- Simple structures and manufacture, e.g. on-site bolt together "flat-packed" optical tube assembly.
- Modest camera/secondary weight allows counterbalancing with electronics etc. fork mount feasible.
- Use AC servo motor driven worm gears for axis drive as inherently fail-safe; no brakes needed (alternative, torque motors).



Optical tube assembly

- Optical tube assembly composed of primary and secondary mirror cells, truss tubes and camera support structure.
- Mirror cells fabricated from TIG welded aluminium box sections.
- Bolt to central stiff (rolled and welded) core.
- Support camera using spider arms attached to truss tubes and central perforated tube which carries services for sensors.





Fork mount

- Modular design, critical assemblies manufactured and tested in workshop.
- Fork arms welded 8 mm steel plate.
- Azimuth: 8 point contact double roller slew bearing with external gear and preloaded worm driven by AC servo motor with absolute encoder.
- Elevation: shafts on 8 point contact double roller bearings, driven by worm-gear and AC motor as above.
- Simple flange joint interfaces to elevation axis 'core' for the optical tube assembly and detector electronics (counterweight).
- Worm drives require lower torque and hence power (0.4 kW per axis) than direct drives (up to 6 kW per axis).



Installation



Assembly Sequence.

- 1. Bolt together mirror cells.
- 2. Bolt together optical tube assembly.
- 3. Install azimuth assembly support ring onto foundation slab.
- 4. Install azimuth drive assembly.
- 5. Install fork arms onto azimuth drive assembly.
- 6. Install elevation drive assembly onto fork arms.
- Rotate elevation drive to zenith and install detector electronics enclosure.
- 8. Install optical tube assembly.
- 9. Installation complete!

Further considerations

- Locking pins on axes can be remote operated for (survival stowing) or manually (maintenance).
- Telescope control to prevent out of balance conditions, e.g. switches prevent removal of detector unless altitude locks engaged.
- Minimum elevation –8° to ensure detectors accessible.
- Telescope painted to minimise visibility to detectors.
- Telescope locked in minimum elevation if wind above max.
- Clamshell fabric cover suggested to protect the telescope when not in use.

- Telescope presently shown installed on foundation at ground level.
- Site may require pedestal to prevent abrasion of optics by ground layer wind blown sand.
- Foundation costs dependent upon local geology.
- If telescope is pedestal mounted, could have azimuth platform or use mobile truck mounted platform.

Cost and milestones

- Costs studied in some detail.
- Quotes obtained for major components (e.g. bearings, motors, worm gears...).
- Fabrication costs estimated using material costs, person-power at £40/hr plus 30% margin, in line with recent similar projects.
- Estimate of cost reductions due to mass production from power law in "The Design And Construction Of large Optical telescopes" (Bely).

- Spreadsheet available for detailed discussions.
- Bottom line:
- One telescope, $\cot T = \pounds 79k$.
- N telescopes, $\cot = TN^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha = 1 - \log_2\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)$ and s = 0.9 here.
- Unit cost for 70 telescopes, £41k.
- Now demonstrate concept for camera and feasibility of mirror construction!

Summary

- Feasibility of optical design of twomirror telescope demonstrated.
- Tolerances reasonable.
- Mechanical design produced, some FEA still required.
- Costs reasonable.
- Work needed on camera and electronics.
- Study required of construction of necessary aspherical mirrors.

