



SST Winston Cones

07.09.2010

Isabel Braun ETH Zurich

Winston Cones





Winston Cones

Definition

- Parabola
- Tilted by desired Cutoff angle
- Shifted

Equation

 $(r \cos\phi + z \sin\phi)^2 + 2ar(1+\sin\phi)^2 - 2az \cos\phi(2+\sin\phi) - a^2 (1+\sin\phi)(3+\sin\phi) = 0$ not $(r \cos\phi + z \sin\phi)^2 + ar(1+\sin\phi)^2 - 2az \cos\phi(1+\sin\phi) - a^2 (1+\sin\phi)(3+\sin\phi) = 0$ or $(r \cos\phi + z \sin\phi)^2 + 2ar(1+\sin\phi)^2 - 2az \cos\phi(2+\sin\phi)^2 - a^2 (1+\sin\phi)(3+\sin\phi) = 0$

- Relations
 - Do/Di -> φ -> Height
 - $\phi = asin(Do/Di)$
 - $H = (Do+Di)/2./tan(\phi)$



φ

F

C_{Area}

10.2

13.0 16.0

f/D

1.

1.2 1.4 1.6

1.8



Simulation (3D)

- Fresnel Reflection
- Refraction
- Transmission (Plexi 7N, input: Cherenkov spectrum at 2 km)
- surface roughness
- (optical cross-talk)
- G-APD (resin, angular & spectral acceptance)





G-APD angular acceptance

- Hamamatsu MPPC S10362-33-50C
- resin removed for the measurement
- normalized to 1 for vertical incidence





Vertical incidence

Careful with tests –illumination for individual angles is not homogeneous!



■ hex – square, 9.5 – 2.8mm, parabolic



Simulation Roughness

absolute changes not so problematic, but changes in slope



=> changes shape of angular acceptance curve



Secondary Optics (Open Cones)

- square-square Winston Cones
- incindence angles of 60° and 75°
- G-APD, 0.2 mm resin



=> theoretically possible, but barely producable



Secondary Optics (Solid Cones)

- assume medium with n = 1.5
- surface roughness (estimate)
- transmission of Plexiglas 7N
- increased area concentration
- prize: less background suppression



=> at least comparable signal, but more sensitive area=> mouldable!

Secondary Optics (No Cones?)

area fill factor, but also: sensor properties (resin + angular acceptance)



=> solid always better than no cone, open can be worse than none for 75° => solid better than open above 7% (2%) diameter gain for 60° (75°)



Secondary Optics (Summary)



theoretical gain, but ε too low

- ⇒ there are realistic solutions for solid cones in a secondary optics telescope
- \Rightarrow gain in area concentration reduces the effective camera cost





Influence Refractive index

- vary Index for whole Cone by +/- 0.1
- all directions





Simulation results

- Assumptions:
 - Total reflection
 - assumed surface roughness
 - G-APD angular acceptance
 - G-APD resin (thickness & index)
 - Fresnel



Simulation Reflektivity

analogue to reflective surfaces





Simulation Transmission

scale complete transmission curve



