Microbunching observations at LCLS

Z. Huang for the LCLS commissioning team

SLAC

Microbunching Instability Workshop II

Oct. 6, 2008 (LBNL, USA)

Outline

LCLS commissioning highlight

DL1 & BC1 observations

BC2 observations

Summary

LCLS Installation and Commissioning Time-Line



The LCLS Accelerator



- Energy: 4.3 to 14 GeV
- Charge: 20pC to 1nC. Typical operation is 250 pC
- Typical peak Current
 - Gun to 250 MeV: 30~40 A
 - After BC1: ~300 A
 - After BC2: ~2500 A

Most OTR screens after DL1 are compromised by strong coherent effects due to beam structures at optical wavelengths

Emittances after bends are measured with wire scanners



Beam Appears Bright Enough for FEL Saturation at 0.15 nm



Measured over long weekend with no tuning, 0.25 nC charge $\gamma(\varepsilon_x \varepsilon_y)^{1/2} = 1.04 \ \mu m$ Calculation (using M. Xie's formulas) is based on measured end-of-linac *projected* emittance values, measured peak current, and design undulator parameters (assuming und. alignment and ≤0.01% rms slice energy spread – not yet measurable)

Microbunching Instability

• Initial density modulation induces energy modulation through longitudinal impedance Z(k), converted to more density modulation by chicane. Space charge impedance is typically more at fault here than CSR (Borland *et. al.* 2001; Saldin *et. al.*, 2002;...)



Slice energy spread Longitudinal phase space at 135 MeV spectrometer



Laser heater (under installation) will be used to control slice energy spread (P. Emma's talk)

Drive Laser Profile

Bandwidth of Ti:Sapphire laser (760 nm) is 3 nm, After frequency tripling, UV BW 1 nm \rightarrow laser-induced beam modulation wavelength >~ 50 μ m



Smoothing the laser profile did not change microbunching observations qualitatively





W. White et. al.,

No COTR observed before DL1

OTR2 intensity vs. charge is linear



Incoherent radiation level \rightarrow initial density modulation at optical wavelengths come from shot noise fluctuations

Unexpected Physics! Coherent OTR after 35-degree Bend, Even With No BC1



R. Akre, et al., PRST-AB 11, 030703 (2008)

OTR12 Spectrum

- Diffraction grating installed in OTR12
- Gives low resolution spectrum from ~400nm to ~800nm

No COTR (QB = 11 kG, nonzero R51&R52 after DL1 suppress μ -bunching) COTR (QB = 10.7 kG, DL1 is linear achromat and enhances μ -bunching)



• Gain analysis and comparison with theory (D. Ratner's talk)



Due to compression, OTR12 "sees" longer initial modulation wavelengths, which require larger QB range to suppress μ -bunching

Coherence is reduced when DL1 is near achromat: High-frequency energy modulations are amplified after DL1 but dissipated in BC1 (due to its stronger R56). This may increase effective energy spread and reduce longer-wavelength gain

OTR11 suppresses COTR on OTR12



• OTR11 foil generates random angular scattering in middle of BC1, which creates time smearing of microbunching after transporting to OTR12 outside BC1.

COTR after BC2 on OTR22

Approximately true color images. Note longer wavelength of coherent signal





BC2 optics function



• QM21 changes beam divergence at BC2 entrance, not much effect on transverse size there

• Varying pre-BC2 beam divergence (by QM21) changes sharpness of microbunching and sharpest location of microbunching in the second dipole

Analysis

• Ignore further CSR amplification of microbunching in BC2 (BC2 gain is low <3, see *Heifets/Stupakov/Krinsky*, *PRST 2002;* also see *Huang/Kim*, *PRST 2002*)

Bunching evolution in a chicane is approximately

$$b_{0}[k(s);s] = b_{0}[k_{0};0]e^{-k^{2}(s)R_{56}^{2}(s)\sigma_{\delta}^{2}/2} \\ \times \exp\left[-\frac{k^{2}(s)\varepsilon_{0}\beta_{0}}{2}\left(R_{51}(s) - \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\beta_{0}}R_{52}(s)\right)^{2} - \frac{k^{2}(s)\varepsilon_{0}}{2\beta_{0}}R_{52}^{2}(s)\right]$$
(26)

Initial bunching (+energy modulation) Controlled by QM21 due to LSC instability in linac+DL1+BC1

Optical bunching in the second dipole 0.6 bunching ratio 5.0 QM21 = 27 kGQM21 = 34 kGounching ratio 0.4 μ -bunching suppressed 0.2 length of second dipole 10.6 10.6 10.8 10.8 11 11 s[m] s[m] 0.6 0.6 13 cm 10 cm: bunching ratio 5.0 ounching ratio 0.4 QM21 = 23 kGQM21 = 21 kG0.2 10.6 10.8 11 10.6 10.8 11 s[m] s[m]

Effects on OTR21

 COTR+CSR intensity changes drastically with QM21 (QB-like effect)

 CSR is emitted near the bunching maximum in second dipole
→ separation of COTR and CSR on OTR21

Shift of CSR from COTR

QM21 = 21 kG Calculated shift ~ 7 mm

QM21 = 23 kG Calculated shift ~ 5 mm

QM21 = 27 kG Calculated shift ~ 0 mm

Summary

- A high-brightness beam such as generated by LCLS tends to microbunch itself in a bend system with nonzero R₅₆ (Shot noise can naturally start the process).
- Strong COTR and CSR emissions at optical wavelengths are clear evidences of beam microbunching.
- Studies are ongoing: some effects are understood, some details are still missing.
- Laser heater (to be commissioned soon) will mitigate microbunching and (hopefully) get rid of lots of COTR/CSR. We look forward to how it works out.

Thank Bill Fawley for putting together this workshop!

Thank LCLS commissioning team for great team work and fun experience!