From Quarks 1968 to Future DIS at CERN

Bits of History

The Case for the LHeC

Updating the CDR for the European Strategy 2020

Max Klein



Introduction to the LHeC/FCCeh/PERLE Workshop at Orsay, 27.6.2018

FUNDAMENTAL THEORETICAL QUESTIONS

M. Froissart, Rapporteur



Rapporteur Talk at ICHEP 1966

The idea was widely entertained that the strong interactions were not to be described by a renormalizable field theory of point particles, which had been so successful for quantum electrodynamics (Weinberg, 1977; Schweber, 1994). Whether one accepted this viewpoint or not,¹ in the absence of a viable theory of strongly interacting elementary particles it was clearly necessary to rely on general properties of the scattering matrix. Perturbative field theory, if utilized at all, could be employed primarily to illustrate and explore the consequences of these properties (Eden, Landshoff, Olive, and Polkinghorne, 1966).

In this context, Regge theory (Regge, 1959; Chew and Frautschi, 1961; P.D.B. Collins, 1971), and its allies and generalizations, such as the dual model (Veneziano, 1968; Mandelstam, 1974) and Reggeon calculus (Gribov, 1968; Abarbanel, Bronzan, Sugar, and White, 1975; Baker and Ter-Martirosyan, 1976), which described particles primarily as analytic features of the S matrix, flourished. A large body of experimental data, including near-forward elastic (Giacomelli, 1976), diffractive (Goulianos, 1983), and high-multiplicity inelastic scattering (Mueller, 1970; Frazer et al., 1972) are still best understood in this language. These developments also gave rise, of course, to string theory (Nambu, 1970; Goto, 1971; Green, Schwarz, and Witten, 1987). The weak and electromagnetic interactions of hadrons with leptons was, and still is, profitably described by current algebra (Gell-Mann and Lévy, 1960; Adler and Dashen, 1968), which provided elementary operators, the currents, even without elementary particles. The currents themselves are linked to strong dynamics by the partially conserved axial-vector current hypothesis, which led to an effective field theory for pions (Weinberg, 1970) that remains today our fundamental picture of low-energy strong interactions (Weinberg, 1979; Leutwyler, 1992). Into this rich and complex set of investigations and viewpoints came partons and quarks.

QCD evolved from a Lagrangian with the property of asymptotic freedom to a sophisticated tool for the calculation of high energy processes. R.K. Ellis Nuovo Cimento 39C(2016)355

SLAC-PUB-502 September 1968 (EXP)

ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERACTIONS: LOW q² ELECTRODYNAMICS;

ELASTIC AND INELASTIC ELECTRON (AND MUON) SCATTERING*

W.K.H. PANOFSKY

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Stanford University, Stanford, California

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dq^2d\nu} = \frac{E'}{E} \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{q^4} \left[\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} W_2(q^2,\nu) + 2 \sin^2 \underbrace{\frac{\theta}{2}}_{2} W_1(q^2,\nu) \right]$$
pagator. Therefore theoretical speculations are focused on
the possibility that these data might give evidence on the
behaviour of point-like, charged structures within the nucleon.

(Presented at XIVth International Conference on High Energy Physics, August 28 to September 5, 1968, Vienna.)

2mile Linac

The great success of the scattering program at HEPL had three consequences: Scattering experiments became more popular at existing electron synchrotrons, new synchrotrons were planned for higher energies, and discussions began at Stanford about a much larger linear accelerator- two miles long and powered by one thousand klystrons!

After more than a year of discussions and calculations, the physicists and engineers of the High Energy Physics Laboratory prepared the first proposal for a two-mile linear accelerator to be built at Stanford. ⁽¹⁵⁾ E.L. Ginzton, W.K.H. Panofsky and R.B. Neal directed the design effort, and Panofsky

The new linear accelerator consisted of two miles of accelerating waveguide, mounted in a tunnel buried 25 feet underground. In the initial phase, the waveguide was powered by two hundred and forty 20-30 MW klystrons housed in a building at ground level. The accelerator was sited in the hills behind Stanford on University land, and was probably the last of the university-based high energy physics accelerators in the U.S. (Figures 10

The design parameters of the new machine - 20 GeV in energy and average currents in the neighborhood of 100 μ A - presented many new problems for experiments. Two experimental areas (called End Stations in Figure 12) were developed initially - one heavily shielded area, where sec-

Cornell and Orsay

At over 1 GeV, the Cornell electron synchrotron was the highest energy electron machine in the world for a few years in the early 1960s. Experimenters there made a series of measurements on CH $_2$ targets, using a quadrupole spectrometer of novel design $^{(18)}$ (Fig. 24) and a new type of γ ray monitor. $^{(19)}$ The results from Cornell started a trend toward the use of the electric and magnetic form factors eO (G $_{\rm E}$ and G $_{\rm M}$), rather than one form factor for a spin 1/2 (Dirac) proton and a second for the anomalous magnetic moment of the proton.

The linear accelerator at Orsay had begun operations in 1959 and by the following year there was an active program of both nucleon and nuclear scattering. The emphasis shifted to colliding beam experiments in later years, but many scattering experiments were done in the intermediate energy stations of that accelerator with beams of up to 750 MeV.

...Further from R Taylor (1929-2018) Nobel Prize Lecture

Deep Inelastic Scattering ep \rightarrow eX (1969)







pointlike scattering centers inside the proton

x = momentum fraction carried by quarks Friedman, Kendall, Taylor

The Quark Parton Model

 $F_2(ep) = x [e_u^2 (u+ubar) + e_d^2 (d+dbar)]$ $F_2(ep) = x [e_u^2 (u+ubar) + e_d^2 (d+dbar)]$

 $q=q_v+q_s$ (Kuti Weisskopf) If $u_s=ubar=d_s=dbar$

$$\rightarrow F_2(ep)-F_2(en) = x \left[e_u^2 u_v - e_d^2 d_v\right]$$

 $e_u = 2/3$, $e_d = -1/3$ till today u_v is better known than d_v

 \rightarrow F₂(eN)/F₂(vN) =1/2 (e_u²+e_d²)





J Friedman, Nobel prize lecture

SLAC/GGM: 0.29 +- 0.05 (1974)

The study of the strong interactions was transformed with the advent of accelerators in the multi-GeV energy range. The famous SLAC experiments of the 1960s and 1970s were the first to show the pointlike substructure of hadrons (Bloom *et al.*, 1969; Friedman and Kendall, 1972). The parton model (Feynman 1969; Feynman, 1972; Bjorken and Paschos, 1969) showed that elementary constituents, interacting weakly, could convincingly explain the central experimental results. In the same period, the quark model (Gell-Mann, 1964; Zweig, 1964; Kokkedee, 1969) rationalized hadron spectroscopy. Out of it grew the idea of color (Han and Naumbu, 1965; Greenberg, 1964), a new quantum number postulated in the first instance to avoid the apparent paradox that the quark model seemed to require spin-1/2 quarks with bosonic statistics.

The idea of extending the global color model to a gauge theory (Fritzsch *et al.*, 1973; Gross and Wilczek, 1973b; Weinberg, 1973) was in many ways a natural one,² but the motivation for doing so was incalculably strengthened by the newfound ability to quantize gauge theories in a manner that was at once unitary and renormalizable,³ developed, in large part to describe electroweak interactions. Concurrently, the growth of the technology of the renormalization group and the operator product expansion (Wilson, 1969; Callan, 1970; Symanzik, 1970; Christ, Hasslacher, and Mueller, 1972; Frishman, 1974) made it clear that any field theory of the strong interactions would have to have an energy-dependent coupling strength, to harmonize the low-energy nature of the strong interactions, which gives them their name, with their weakness at high energy (or short distances). The concept of asymptotic freedom (Gross and Wilczek, 1973a; Politzer, 1973), which is satisfied almost uniquely by quantum chromodynamics, brilliantly filled these demands.

Since QCD remains an "unsolved" theory, with no single approximation method applicable to all length scales, the justification for the use of perturbative QCD rests in large part directly on experiment.

Handbook of perturbative QCD, CTEQ



The basic experimental set ups:

- no initial hadron (....LEP, ILC, CLIC)
- 1 hadron (....HERA, LHeC)

Х

• 2 hadrons (....SppS, Tevatron, LHC)

Progress in particle physics needs their continuous interplay to take full advantage of their complementarity



Polarised eD Scattering

SLAC-PUB-2148 July 1978 (T/E)

$$A/Q^2 = (-9.5 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-5} (GeV/c)^{-2}$$

20 GeV polarised electrons, P=0.37, $Q^2 \sim 2 \text{ GeV}^2$

C.Prescott ... W.Jentschke

 \rightarrow SU_L(2) x U(1), electron r.h. singlet: GWS eweak theory

Of crucial importance to this experiment was the development of an intense source of longitudinally polarized electrons. The source consisted of a gallium arsenide crystal mounted in a structure similar to a regular SLAC gun with the GaAs replacing the usual thermionic cathode.



The observed x-dependence of this ratio is in disagreement with existing theoretical predictions.

0.6

х

0.4

0.2

٥

Saturation at small x

0

CERN-EP/87-230 December 23rd, 1987

A MEASUREMENT OF THE SPIN ASYMMETRY AND DETERMINATION OF THE STRUCTURE FUNCTION S, IN DEEP INELASTIC MUON-PROTON SCATTERING



to the conclusion that the total quark spin constitutes a rather small fraction of the spin of the nucleon.

PDFs before HERA - Gluon - xg(x,Q²)

BCDMS

CDHS



9 Nov 89: R Taylor at Paris

0.7

0.6



Note: HERA: QCD vacuum dominates p structure at small x. xg vanishes/rises at low/hi Q²

0911.0884

How to determine low x evolution + discover saturation ?



High precision $F_2(x,Q^2)$ from few days of nominal ep running. Needs large Q^2 and low $x \sim 1/s$: Impossible at EIC This constrain Needs cleanest DIS constraints, proton, not ion, high E: F_2+F_L

$$F_L(x,Q^2) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} x^2 \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z^3} \left[\frac{4}{3} F_2(z,Q^2) + 2\sum_i^{N_f} e_i^2 \cdot G(z,Q^2) \left(1 - \frac{x}{z}\right) \right]$$

High precision $\rm F_L$ from variation of $\rm E_e$ independently of LHC/FCC



This constrains DGLAP and rules it out (or not..). cf CDR (LHeC)

The Case for the LHeC

60 GeV Electron ERL added to LHC



Concurrent operation to pp, LHC/FCC become 3 beam facilities. Power limit: 100 MW 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹ luminosity and factor of 15/120 (LHC/FCCeh) extension of Q², 1/x reach 1000 times HERA luminosity. It therefore extends up to x~1.
Four orders of magnitude extension in deep inelastic lepton-nucleus (ion) scattering.

Towards a strategy for European Particle Physics

"Two Problems" of HEP

1980: Leon Lederman at ICHEP in Madison:

"Shortage of Money and Overconfidence of Theorists" [SU(5)/SUSY ahead times..]

Today: Shortage of Money and Missing Confidence of Theory [EFT/ SUSY passed times?]

Reminiscent of the situation as experienced 50 years ago: before the SM and **discovery of partons in ep at Stanford**

Time for high precision, high energy, high luminosity collider experiments ee, pp and ep: Progress in particle physics needs their continuous interplay to take full advantage of their complementarity

Guido Altarelli, DIS 2009, Madrid

In 2014 CERN decided to set up a new LHeC organisation and an IAC to "assist building the international case of an ep/A collider" at CERN

 \rightarrow

IAC: Two main tasks: Update CDR + Testfacility

Organisation*)

International Advisory Committee

Mandate by CERN to define "..Direction for ep/A both at LHC+FCC"

Sergio Bertolucci (CERN/Bologna) Nichola Bianchi (Frascati) Frederick Bordry (CERN) Stan Brodsky (SLAC) Hesheng Chen (IHEP Beijing) Eckhard Elsen (CERN) Stefano Forte (Milano) Andrew Hutton (Jefferson Lab) Young-Kee Kim (Chicago) Victor A Matveev (JINR Dubna) Shin-Ichi Kurokawa (Tsukuba) Leandro Nisati (Rome) Leonid Rivkin (Lausanne) Herwig Schopper (CERN) – Chair Jurgen Schukraft (CERN) Achille Stocchi (LAL Orsay) John Womersley (ESS)

We miss Guido Altarelli.

Max Klein Kobe 17.4.18

Coordination Group

Accelerator+Detector+Physics

Gianluigi Arduini Nestor Armesto Oliver Brüning – Co-Chair Andrea Gaddi Erk Jensen Walid Kaabi Max Klein – Co-Chair Peter Kostka Bruce Mellado Paul Newman Daniel Schulte Frank Zimmermann

5(12) are members of the FCC coordination team

OB+MK: co-coordinate FCCeh

*) April 2018

Working Groups

PDFs, QCD Fred Olness, Claire Gwenlan Higgs Uta Klein, Masahiro Kuze BSM Georges Azuelos, Monica D'Onofrio **Oliver Fischer** Тор Olaf Behnke, Christian Schwanenberger eA Physics Nestor Armesto Small x Paul Newman, Anna Stasto Detector Alessandro Polini Peter Kostka

Physics with Energy Frontier DIS



Raison(s) d'etre of the LHeC

Cleanest High Resolution Microscope: QCD Discovery

Empowering the LHC Search Programme

Transformation of LHC into high precision Higgs facility

Discovery (top, H, heavy v's..) Beyond the Standard Model

A Unique Nuclear Physics Facility

Huge increase in energy and luminosity enables unique development of particle physics

The Classic DIS Programme with the LHeC: $0 < Q^2 < 10^6$ GeV2, $1 < x < 10^{-6}$

Generalised Parton Distributions [DVCS] – "proton in 3D - tomography"

Unintegrated Parton Distributions [Final State] – DGLAP/BFKL?

Diffractive Parton Distributions [Diffraction] – pomeron, confinement??

Photon Parton Distribution [Photoproduction Dijets,QQ; F_{2.L}] - fashionable..

Neutron Parton Distributions [Tagged en (eD) Scattering] – ignored at HERA

see the CDR 1206.2913 + updates

quark mass q propagation and q-g interaction



gluon

That's it?? That may not be it..

Developments

AdS/CFT Instantons Odderons TOTEM ? CERN EP 2017-335

Non pQCD, Spin Quark Gluon Plasma

QCD of Higgs boson

N^kLO, Monte Carlos.. Resummation Saturation and BFKL

Photon, Pomeron, n PDFs Non-conventional partons (unintegrated, generalised) Vector Mesons The 3 D view on hadrons..

Díscoveríes

CP violation in QCD? Massless quarks?? Would solve it.. Electric dipole moment of the neutron? Axions, candidates for Dark Matter

Breaking of Factorisation [ep-pp]

Free Quarks

Unconfined Color

New kind of coloured matter

Quark substructure

New symmetry embedding QCD

QCD has an exciting future with the FCC

The LHeC collinear proton (and nuclear) PDF Programme

Resolve parton structure of the proton completely: u_v, d_v, s_v ?, u, d, s, c, b, t and xg Unprecedented range, sub% precision, free of parameterisation assumptions, Resolve p structure, solve non linear and saturation issues, test QCD, N³LO...



Max Klein Kobe 17.4.18

Empowers the LHC H, **BSM + SM Physics**

Empowering pp Discoveries

External, reliable input (PDFs, factorisation..) is crucial for range extension + CI interpretation



Determination of SM Higgs Couplings, **HL-LHC** and **LHeC** \rightarrow **LHC**



LHC: ATLAS prospects PUB Note 2014-016

ttH at LHeC to 15%

The addition of ep to pp (LHeC to LHC (HL,HE) and FCC-eh to FCC-pp) **transforms these machines into precision Higgs facilities. Vital complementarity with e⁺e⁻** (JdB Amsterdam) Note that the HL LHC prospects are being updated (HL/HE LHC Physics workshop).

Max Klein Kobe 17.4.18

New Physics through High Precision

Masses:

Charm HERA 40 MeV LHeC 3 MeV
W LHC 19→ 10 MeV LHeC 15 MeV
and prediction to ±2.8 MeV for pp
Top: to be studied
Proton: gluon we are made of...
Higgs: Cross section to 0.3%: Mass
dependent. OB, MK 1305.2090
Neutrinos: Heavy "sterile" Neutrinos



CKM, electroweak, alpha_s, ...

V_{tb}: to 0.01 V_{cs}: to 0.02 [LHC+LHeC, like ATLAS+HERA]

 α_{s} to 0.2% [0.1% with HERA] – GUT?

sin²θ_w (μ)

LHC: better than LEP with LHeC PDFs LHeC: scale dependence from 0.4 GeV (PERLE) to 1 TeV (LHeC) **NC couplings**



Beyond the Standard Model

Higgs into Dark Matter
Higgs into Neutralinos (RPV SUSY)
Higgs into Scalars → 4b

H^{±±} in Vector Boson Scattering H[±] in Vector Boson Scattering H⁺ in 2HDM

Triple Gauge Couplings Top FCNC Contact Interactions Empower LHC Discoveries

D Curtin et al arXiv:1712.07135

This adds significant motivation for the construction of future e^-p colliders. Together with the invaluable proton PDF data, as well as precision measurements of EW parameters, top quark couplings and Higgs couplings, our results make clear that adding a DIS program to a pp collider is necessary to fully exploit its discovery potential for new physics.



Higgsinos: mass degenerate Wino/bino compressed Prompt decays or long lifetimes

→ SUSY ewk sector most challenging for pp colliders

cf U Klein + M Donofrio at Amsterdam FCC

Max Klein Kobe 17.4.18

References

- S. P. Das, J. Hernandez-Sanchez, S. Moretti and A. Rosado, Prospects for discovering a light charged Higgs boson within the NMSSM at the FCC-eh collider, 1806.08361.
- [2] A. Caliskan and S. O. Kara, Single production of the excited electrons at the future FCC-based lepton-hadron colliders, 1806.02037.
- [3] H. Hesari, H. Khanpour and M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, Study of Higgs Effective Couplings at Electron-Proton Colliders, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 095041, [1805.04697].
- [4] L. Duarte, G. Zapata and O. A. Sampayo, Angular and polarization trails from effective interactions of Majorana neutrinos at the LHeC, Eur. Phys. J. C78 (2018) 352, [1802.07620].
- [5] C. Han, R. Li, R.-Q. Pan and K. Wang, Searching for the light Higgsinos at the CERN LHeC, 1802.03679.
- [6] G. Azuelos, H. Sun and K. Wang, Search for singly charged Higgs bosons in vector-boson scattering at ep colliders, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 116005, [1712.07505].
- [7] D. Curtin, K. Deshpande, O. Fischer and J. Zurita, New Physics Opportunities for Long-Lived Particles at Electron-Proton Colliders, 1712.07135.
- [8] R. Li, X.-M. Shen, K. Wang, T. Xu, L. Zhang and G. Zhu, Probing anomalous WWγ triple gauge bosons coupling at the LHeC, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 075043, [1711.05607].
- [9] K. He, H.-Y. Bi, R.-Y. Zhang, X.-Z. Li and W.-G. Ma, *P*-wave excited B^{**}_c meson photoproduction at the LHeC, J. Phys. **G45** (2018) 055005, [1710.11508].
- [10] H. Sun, X. Luo, W. Wei and T. Liu, Searching for the doubly-charged Higgs bosons in the Georgi-Machacek model at the electron-proton colliders, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 095003, [1710.06284].
- [11] Y. O. Günaydın, M. Sahin and S. Sultansoy, Resonance Production of Excited u-quark at the FCC Based \(\gamma\) p Colliders, 1707.00056.
- [12] A. Caliskan, Excited neutrino search potential of the FCC-based electron-hadron colliders, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2017 (2017) 4726050, [1706.09797].
- [13] L. Han, Y.-J. Zhang and Y.-B. Liu, Single vector-like T-quark search via the T → Wb decay channel at the LHeC, Phys. Lett. B771 (2017) 106–112.
- [14] I. Turk Cakir, A. Yilmaz, H. Denizli, A. Senol, H. Karadeniz and O. Cakir, Probing the Anomalous FCNC Couplings at Large Hadron Electron Collider, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2017 (2017) 1572053, [1705.05419].
- [15] Y.-B. Liu, Search for single production of vector-like top partners at the Large Hadron Electron Collider, Nucl. Phys. B923 (2017) 312–323, [1704.02059].
- [16] Y.-J. Zhang, L. Han and Y.-B. Liu, Single production of the top partner in the T → tZ channel at the LHeC, Phys. Lett. B768 (2017) 241–247.
- [17] X. Wang, H. Sun and X. Luo, Searches for the Anomalous FCNC Top-Higgs Couplings with Polarized Electron Beam at the LHeC, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2017 (2017) 4693213, [1703.02691].
- [18] H.-Y. Bi, R.-Y. Zhang, X.-G. Wu, W.-G. Ma, X.-Z. Li and S. Owusu, Photoproduction of doubly heavy baryon at the LHeC, Phys. Rev. D95 (2017) 074020, [1702.07181].
- [19] B. Coleppa, M. Kumar, S. Kumar and B. Mellado, Measuring CP nature of top-Higgs couplings at the future Large Hadron electron collider, Phys. Lett. B770 (2017) 335–341, [1702.03426].
- [20] H. Denizli, A. Senol, A. Yilmaz, I. Turk Cakir, H. Karadeniz and O. Cakir, Top quark FCNC couplings at future circular hadron electron colliders, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 015024, [1701.06932].
- [21] H.-Y. Bi, R.-Y. Zhang, H.-Y. Han, Y. Jiang and X.-G. Wu, Photoproduction of the B^(*) meson at the LHeC, Phys. Rev. D95 (2017) 034019, [1612.07990].
- [22] S. P. Das and M. Nowakowski, Light neutral CP-even Higgs boson within Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard model (NMSSM) at the Large Hadron electron Collider (LHeC), Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 055014, [1612.07241].
- [23] J. Hernández-Sánchez, O. Flores-Sánchez, C. G. Honorato, S. Moretti and S. Rosado, Prospect for observing a light charged Higgs through the decay H[±] → cb at the LHeC, PoS CHARGED2016 (2017) 032, [1612.06316].
- [24] S. Antusch, E. Cazzato and O. Fischer, Sterile neutrino searches at future e⁻e⁺, pp, and e⁻p colliders, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A32 (2017) 1750078, [1612.02728].
- [25] S. Liu, Y.-L. Tang, C. Zhang and S.-h. Zhu, Exotic Higgs Decay h → φφ → 4b at the LHeC, Eur. Phys. J. C77 (2017) 457, [1608.08458].
- [26] A. Ozansoy, V. Arı and V. Çetinkaya, Search for excited spin-3/2 neutrinos at LHeC, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2016 (2016) 1739027, [1607.04437].
- [27] G. R. Boroun, B. Rezaei and S. Heidari, Nuclear longitudinal structure function in eA processes at the LHeC, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A32 (2017) 1750197, [1606.02864].
- [28] Y. C. Acar, U. Kaya, B. B. Oner and S. Sultansoy, Color octet electron search potential of FCC based e-p colliders, J. Phys. G44 (2017) 045005, [1605.08028].
- [29] S. Mondal and S. K. Rai, Probing the Heavy Neutrinos of Inverse Seesaw Model at the LHeC, Phys. Rev. D94 (2016) 033008, [1605.04508].
- [30] M. Lindner, F. S. Queiroz, W. Rodejohann and C. E. Yaguna, Left-Right Symmetry and Lepton Number Violation at the Large Hadron Electron Collider, JHEP 06 (2016) 140, [1604.08596].
- [31] H. Sun and X. Wang, Exploring the Anomalous Top-Higgs FCNC Couplings at the electron proton colliders, Eur. Phys. J. C78 (2018) 281, [1602.04670].
- [32] S. Mondal and S. K. Rai, Polarized window for left-right symmetry and a right-handed

neutrino at the Large Hadron-Electron Collider, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 011702, [1510.08632].

- [33] G. R. Boroun, Top reduced cross section behavior at the LHeC kinematic range, Chin. Phys. C41 (2017) 013104, [1510.02914].
- [34] M. Kumar, X. Ruan, R. Islam, A. S. Cornell, M. Klein, U. Klein et al., Probing anomalous couplings using di-Higgs production in electron-proton collisions, Phys. Lett. B764 (2017) 247-253, [1509.04016].
- [35] Y.-L. Tang, C. Zhang and S.-h. Zhu, Invisible Higgs Decay at the LHeC, Phys. Rev. D94 (2016) 011702, [1508.01095].
- [36] W. Liu, H. Sun, X. Wang and X. Luo, Probing the anomalous FCNC top-Higgs Yukawa couplings at the Large Hadron Electron Collider, Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 074015, [1507.03264].
- [37] G. R. Boroun, Geometrical scaling behavior of the top structure functions ratio at the LHeC, Phys. Lett. B744 (2015) 142–145, [1503.01590].
- [38] S. P. Das, J. Hernández-Sánchez, S. Moretti, A. Rosado and R. Xoxocotzi, Flavor violating signatures of lighter and heavier Higgs bosons within the Two Higgs Doublet Model Type-III at the LHeC, Phys. Rev. D94 (2016) 055003, [1503.01464].
- [39] L. Duarte, G. A. González-Sprinberg and O. A. Sampayo, Majorana neutrinos production at LHeC in an effective approach, Phys. Rev. D91 (2015) 053007, [1412.1433].
- [40] I. A. Sarmiento-Alvarado, A. O. Bouzas and F. Larios, Analysis of top-quark charged-current coupling at the LHeC, J. Phys. G42 (2015) 085001, [1412.6679].
- [41] G. R. Boroun, Top structure function at the LHeC, Phys. Lett. B741 (2015) 197–201, [1411.6492].
- [42] I. T. Cakir, O. Cakir, A. Senol and A. T. Tasci, Search for anomalous WWγ and WWZ couplings with polarized e-beam at the LHeC, Acta Phys. Polon. B45 (2014) 1947, [1406.7696].
- [43] R.-Y. Zhang, H. Wei, L. Han and W.-G. Ma, Probing L-violating coupling via sbottom resonance production at the LHeC, Mod. Phys. Lett. A29 (2014) 1450029, [1401.4266].
- [44] J. T. Amaral, V. P. Goncalves and M. S. Kugeratski, Probing gluon number fluctuation effects in future electron-hadron colliders, Nucl. Phys. A930 (2014) 104–116, [1312.4741].
- [45] X.-P. Li, L. Guo, W.-G. Ma, R.-Y. Zhang, L. Han and M. Song, Single (anti-)top quark production in association with a lightest neutralino at the LHeC, Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 014023, [1307.2308].
- [46] S. Dutta, A. Goyal, M. Kumar and B. Mellado, Measuring anomalous Wtb couplings at e⁻p collider, Eur. Phys. J. C75 (2015) 577, [1307.1688].
- [47] I. T. Cakir, O. Cakir, A. Senol and A. T. Tasci, Probing Anomalous HZZ Couplings at the LHeC, Mod. Phys. Lett. A28 (2013) 1350142, [1304.3616].
- [48] S. Kuday, Resonant Production of Sbottom via RPV Couplings at the LHeC, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 64 (2014) 1783–1787, [1304.2124].
- [49] M. Sahin, Resonant production of spin-3/2 color octet electron at the LHeC, Acta Phys. Polon. B45 (2014) 1811, [1302.5747].
- [50] I. T. Cakir, A. Senol and A. T. Tasci, Associated Production of Single Top Quark and W-boson Through Anomalous Couplings at LHeC based γp Colliders, Mod. Phys. Lett. A29 (2014) 1450021, [1301.2617].

50 journal papers on NP with LHeC in recent years

LHeC as Electron Ion Collider



Precision QCD study of parton dynamics in nuclei Investigation of high density matter and QGP DGLAP to BFKL – vital for LHC and FCCpp physics Extension of kinematic range in IA by 4-5 orders of magnitude will change QCD view on nuclear structure and parton dynamics

May lead to genuine surprises...

- No saturation of xg (x,Q²) ?
 [discover saturation in ep THEN analyse eA –separate nonlinear g from nuclear effects]
- Small fraction of diffraction ?
- Broken isospin invariance ?
- Flavour dependent shadowing ?
- Safe: nuclear PDFs like at HERA
- \rightarrow R(x,Q²) flavour dependent

 $L_{eN} = 6 \ 10^{32} \ cm^{-2} \ s^{-1}$

LHeC Detector for the HL/HE LHC



Length x Diameter: LHeC (13.3 x 9 m²) HE-LHC (15.6 x 10.4) FCCeh (19 x 12) ATLAS (45 x 25) CMS (21 x 15): [LHeC < CMS, FCC-eh ~ CMS size]

If CERN decides that the HE LHC comes, the LHeC detector should anticipate that Max Klein Kobe 17.4.18

Powerful ERL for Experiments at Orsay



cf Walid Kaabi at Amsterdam FCC

New SCRF, High Intensity (100 x ELI) ERL Development Facility with unique low E Physics

Max Klein Kobe 17.4.18

Towards PERLE: 802 MHz cavity, Source, Cryomodule, Magnets

First 802 MHz cavity successfully built (Jlab)







BINP, CERN, Daresbury/Liverpool, Jlab, Orsay, + CDR 1705.08783 [J.Phys G] → TDR in 2019

Max Klein Kobe 17.4.18

Recent Presentations on LHeC and FCCeh

FCC Week Amsterdam 9-13.4.18

Theory Jo Rudermann, Jorge de Blas Overviews Bruce Mellado, Uta Klein

QCD Max Klein Top Christian Schwanenberger and Orhan Cakir Higgs Uta Klein BSM Monica D'Onofrio Detector Peter Kostka

Machine Oliver Bruening Civil Engineering John Osborne Cavity Frank Marhauser IR Roman Martin PERLE Walid Kaabi http://lhec.web.cern.ch

DIS Workshop Kobe 16.4.-18.4.

Machine+PERLE Gianluigi Arduini

PDFs Claire Gwenlan Low x+Diffraction Paul Newman Nuclear PDFs Nestor Armesto Higgs Uta Klein Top Hao Sun Electroweak Max Klein New and BSM Jose Zurita

Project Max Klein Structure of the Proton Uta Klein

FCC David D'Enterria

Towards the European Strategy in Particle Physics

15/15.11. ECFA Symposium at CERN about Future Colliders

December 2018: Submission of a 10 page LHeC (HL/HE LHC) Document 'eh' also part of the separate FCC submission [Book1 on Physics, Book2 on FCChh +eh, ...]

February 2019: Update of the CDR to appear [Main Topic of this Workshop]

May 13, 2019: Symposium in Spain

January 2020: Council + Secretariat Meeting in Bad Honnef (D)

Spring 2020: Update of the 2013 Paper.

Large Hadron Electron Collider on one page

 $E_e = 10-60 \text{ GeV}, E_p = 1-7 \text{ TeV}: \sqrt{s} = 200 - 1300 \text{ GeV}.$ Kinematics: $0 < Q^2 < s, 1 > x \ge 10^{-6}$ (DIS) Electron Polarisation P=±80%. Positrons: significantly lower intensity, unpolarised Luminosity: $O(10^{34}) \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. integrated $O(1) \text{ ab}^{-1}$ for HL LHC and 2 ab^{-1} for HE LHC/FCCeh e-ions 6 $10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} O(10) \text{ fb}^{-1}$ in ePb. $O(1) \text{ fb}^{-1}$ for ep F_L measurements

Physics: QCD: develop+break? The worlds best microscope. BSM (H, top, v, SUSY..) Transformations: Searches at LHC, LHC as Higgs Precision Facility, QCD of Nuclear Dynamics The LHeC has a deep, unique QCD, H and BSM precision and discovery physics programme.

Time: Determined by the Large Hadron Collider (HL LHC needs till ~2040 for 3 ab⁻¹) LHeC: Detector Installation in 2 years, earliest in LS4 (2030/31). HE LHC: re-use ERL. In between HL-HE, 10 years time of ERL Physics (laser, γγ..) Very long term: FCC-eh http://lhec.web.cern.ch

Challenges: Demonstration of ERL Technology (high electron current, multi-turn) Design 3-beam IR for concurrent ep+pp operation, New Detector with Taggers - in 10 years.

The LHeC is a great opportunity to sustain deep inelastic physics within future HEP. The cost of an ep Higgs event is O(1/10) of that at any of the 4 e⁺e⁻ machines under consideration It can be done: the Linac is shorter than 2 miles and the time we have longer than HERA had.

CERN and world HEP: Vital to make the High Luminosity LHC programme a success. Max Klein Kobe 17.4.18 CERN-OPEN-2019-nnn LHeC-Note-2019-001 GEN Geneva, June 25, 2018





A Higgs Facility Resolving the Substructure of Matter

Update on the 2012 LHeC Report on the Physics and Design Concepts for Machine and Detector

LHeC Collaboration



Submitted to J.Phys. G

1	1 Modern Particle Physics and the Large Hadron Collider 4						
2	Hig	Higgs, Discovery and Precision Physics					
-	2.1	Electron-Hadron Scattering at the HL and HE LHC	6				
		2.1.1 Kinematics and Reconstruction of Final States	6				
		2.1.2 Opportunities through Energy Frontier ep and eA Interactions	6				
		2.1.3 Luminosity and Operation of an eh Collider at the LHC	6				
	2.2	Higgs Physics	6				
	2.2	2.2.1 High Precision Higgs Coupling Measurements	6				
		2.2.2 Htt Coupling Measurement	6				
		2.2.3 Combined ep and pp Analysis - the Potential for Precision Higgs Physics at the LHC	6				
		2.2.4 Discovery of the H-HH Selfcoupling	6				
		2.2.5 Exotic Higgs Decays and Dark Matter	6				
		2.2.6 Extension of the SM Higgs Sector	6				
	2.3	Challenging the Standard Model through High Precision and Energy	6				
		2.3.1 Resolving the Parton Substructure of the Proton	6				
		2.3.2 Electroweak, W Boson and Top Quark Physics	6				
		2.3.3 Non-Conventional Proton Structure Resolution	6				
	2.4 Searches for Physics Beyond the Standard Model						
		2.4.1 Heavy Neutrinos	6				
		2.4.2 Flavour Changing Neutral Currents	6				
		2.4.3 Substructure	6				
		2.4.4 Leptoquarks	6				
	2.5	Discovery through Precision QCD	6				
		2.5.1 High Mass and Bjorken x Searches at the LHC	6				
		2.5.2 Strong Coupling and Grand Unification	6				
		2.5.3 New QCD Dynamics at small x	6				
		2.5.4 A New Era of Nuclear Particle Physics with eA	6				
3	Exp	perimentation at the LHeC	7				
	3.1	Detector Design Considerations for HL and HE LHC	7				
	3.2	Detector Overview	7				
		3.2.1 Magnets	7				
		3.2.2 Interaction Region, Beam Pipe and Radiation	7				
		3.2.3 Inner Tracking	7				
		3.2.4 Calorimetry	7				
		3.2.5 Muon Detector	7				
		3.2.6 Central Detector Performance	7				
	3.3	Forward and Backward Detectors	7				
		3.3.1 Proton and Neutron Forward Taggers	7				
		3.3.2 Electron and Photon Backward Detectors	7				
	3.4	3.4 Detector Installation and Infrastructure					

4	An Electron Energy Recovery Linac at CERN 8					
	4.1 The ERL Configuration of the LHeC					
		4.1.1 Basic Structure and Design Choices				
		4.1.2 Component Summary				
		4.1.3 Performance Estimates and Operation Scenarios				
		4.1.4 Electron-Ion Scattering				
	4.2 ERL Beam Dynamics and Performance Limitations					
		4.2.1 Front-end Simulation				
		4.2.2 BBI, Filling Patterns and Bunch Structure				
	4.3	LINAC and SRF				
		4.3.1 Choice of Frequency and Cavity Prototype				
		4.3.2 Sources				
		4.3.3 Injector				
		4.3.4 LINAC and Cavity-CryoModule				
		4.3.5 Infrastructure: Power and Cryogenics				
	4.4	Magnets and Dumps				
		4.4.1 Arc Magnets				
		4.4.2 Dumps				
	4.5	Interaction Region				
		4.5.1 Layout				
		4.5.2 Triplet Magnet Design				
		4.5.3 Optics				
		4.5.4 IR and L* Optimisation $\dots \dots \dots$				
	4.6	Civil Engineering				
	4.7	Stand-Alone Operation and Physics with the ERL, post HL LHC				
		4.7.1 Photo-Nuclear Physics with the ERL				
		4.7.2 A High Energy and Intensity Laser Facility				
		4.7.3 A Photon-Photon Higgs Collider at CERN				
5	Exe	ecutive Summary and Further Project Development 10				
6	Appendix 11					
	6.1	The Technology Development Facility PERLE				
	6.2	Cost-Energy-Physics Optimisation of the LHeC				
1						



The SM looks complete, some people believe in the new dark aether, need precision+diversity

Time comes to unite pp with ep and ee at TeV scale



Jo Ruderman, modified

A currently best bet is HL/HE LHC, ep with both, and CepC: a realistic program for exploring the SM deeper and leading beyond, for the next 40 years ahead.

It needs



Welcome on behalf of the Coordination Group.

backup

pQCD Theory

Substantial and remarkable theoretical progress in pQCD calculations to N^kLO, e.g.

N³LO Corrections to Jet Production in Deep Inelastic Scattering using the Projection-to-Born Method

1803.09973, 2 weeks ago

J. Currie,^a T. Gehrmann,^b E.W.N. Glover,^a A. Huss,^c J. Niehues,^a A. Vogt^d

QCD calculations for the LHC: status and prospects G Heinrich 1710.04998

Table 1: Methods for the isolation of IR divergent real radiation at NNLO.

method	analytic integr. of	type/restrictions
	subtraction terms	-
antenna subtraction [1]	yes	subtraction
q_T -subtraction [2]	yes	slicing; colourless final states
N-jettiness [3, 4]	yes	slicing
sector-improved residue subtraction [5–8]	no	subtraction
nested subtraction [9]	no	subtraction
colourful subtraction [10, 11]	partly	subtraction; colourless initial states
projection to Born [12]	yes	subtraction

$$P_{1}P - \int_{q}^{q} \int_{x^{*}}^{x^{*}} Drell \cdot Yan 1970$$

$$\sqrt{S} = 2P = 14 \text{ TeV } 9 \text{ LHC}$$

$$Q^{2} = M^{2} = S \times_{q} \times_{q}^{2}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^{2}} = \sum_{q} \int dx_{q} \int dx_{q} q (x_{q}) \cdot \frac{d\tilde{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}}{dQ^{2}} \bar{q} (x_{\bar{q}})$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^{2}} = \frac{4\pi d}{3} \int_{x^{*}}^{z} e_{q}^{2} S \left(\frac{Q^{2}}{S} - x_{q} \times_{q}^{2}\right)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^{2}} = \frac{4\pi d}{3} \int_{x^{*}}^{z} e_{q}^{2} S \left(\frac{Q^{2}}{S} - x_{q} \times_{q}^{2}\right)$$

$$Yapidity Variable of 8^{*} : X = \frac{M}{\sqrt{S}} e^{\frac{1}{2}Y}$$

$$for Vector boson or Higgs production
$$M^{2} \text{ and } \frac{M}{\sqrt{S}} e^{\frac{1}{2}Y} are equivalent to Q^{2}_{1} \times in Dis$$$$

QCD with ee pp ep



 $Vs=2E_{e} \approx [G_{F}V2]^{-1/2}=246 \text{ GeV}$

Final state arises completely from short distance interaction of virtual boson with quarks: NO PDFs, but jets, α_s Njets +0, energy, angles. Unique association of q,g with jets **Observation of 3-jet events at PETRA to discover the gluon**

S Ellis and D Soper, hep-ph/9306280 Successive combination jet algorithm for hadron collisions



 $vs = 2E_p = 14, 27, 100 \text{ TeV}$



 $Vs = 2VE_eE_p = 1.3, 1.8, 3.5 \text{ TeV}$

Many initial partons but only two interact. "rest" is the underlying event of soft i.a.'s Dynamical coupling of all components. MPIs N jets at large pT +X, pseudorapidity + azimuth Ledermann-Drell-Yan scattering, jets Scattering depends on parton distributions The "Altarelli cocktail" to save the SM (1984, Bern)

"Route royale" to the structure and dynamics of parton interactions inside the proton (nucleon) Universal partons evolving with resolution scale x BJ fixed through electron kinematics. PDFs + α_s Redundant e and h final state reconstruction. **Discovery of partons and the QPM** ... **DGLAP**

ep - "option" which ought to be a real part. Seguil tuo corso, e lascia dir el genti (Dante, KM)

HUTP-77/A044

JETS FROM QUANTUM CHROMODYNAMICS

George Sterman* Institute for Theoretical Physics State University of New York at Stony Brook Stony Brook, New York 11790

and

Steven Weinberg[†] Lyman Laboratory of Physics Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} \propto 1 + \alpha \cos^2 \theta + = 1 + (0.78 \pm 0.12) \cos^2 \theta.$

Jets in e⁺e⁻ at > 5 GeV at SPEAR at Stanford

- G. Hanson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>35</u>, 1609 (1975);
 R. F. Schwitters, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Leptons and Photon Interactions at High Energy,
 ed. by W. T. Kirk (SLAC, 1975), p. 5; G. Hanson, SLAC-PUB-1814, September 1976 (unpublished).
- For early theoretical predictions of jets in parton models, see S. D. Drell, D. J. Levy, and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. <u>187</u>, 2159 (1969) and Phys. Rev. D <u>1</u>, 1617 (1970); N. Cabibbo, G. Parisi, and M. Testa, Lett. Nuovo Cimento <u>4</u>, 35 (1970); J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D<u>1</u>, 1416 (1970); R. P. Feynman, *Photon-Hadron Interactions* (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1972), p. 166.

QCD at work at the LHC

1702.05725 Z + n jets ATLAS 3fb⁻¹13 TeV

1609.05331 inclusive jets, 26fb⁻¹8 TeV



10 orders of magnitude in cross section

LHC is the trick to attract a few 1000 physicists to work on QCD: T Sjoestrand, 2007, after we saw ATLAS

BFKL and Saturation



Gribov, Levin, Ryskin. Semihard Processes in QCD Phys Rept 100 (1983) 1-150

Rise of Gluon (and Quark) densities towards low x discovered at HERA. This may lead to saturation – non-linear interactions and BFKL $\ln(1/x)$ effects. Not discovered at HERA, to much surprise, despite recent 'speculations' .. Change of parton distributions + evolution \rightarrow to be clarified for FCC + (HE) LHC

BFKL papers: The Pomeranchuk Singularity in QCD/Gauge Theories 1978/1977

$\alpha_s(\mu)$ in Deep Inelastic Scattering



DIS: Fixed target: higher twist corrections 1/Q², nuclear corrections, small lever arm, gluon?

 $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1150 \ \pm \ 0.0017 \ (exp) \ {}^+_- \ {}^{0.0009}_{0.0005} \ (model)$

H1 inclusive (1998) NLO hep-ph/0012053 – highest cited H1 only

 $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1157 \pm 0.0020 \ (exp) \pm 0.0029 \ (thy)$

H1 only jets (2017) NNLO jets!

 $\alpha_s = 0.1142 \pm 0.0028 \text{ (tot)}$

H1 inclusive and jets (2017) NNLO

→ It is well possible that α_s is smaller than hitherto assumed. Current practice to exclude ABM is questionable. Like in the lattice case, one constructs, for perhaps respectable reasons, a norm, which gives the impression of higher accuracy than a critical evaluation would lead to.

Current strong coupling precision at best 1-2%: FCC ee and eh want 1-2 per mille

$\alpha_{s}(\mu)$ at LHeC/FCCeh

case	cut $[Q^2 (\text{GeV}^2)]$	uncertainty	relative precision (%)	
HERA only	$Q^2 > 3.5$	0.00224	1.94	
HERA+jets	$Q^{2} > 3.5$	0.00099	0.82	CDR 2012
 LHeC only	$Q^2 > 3.5$	0.00020	0.17	
LHeC+HERA	$Q^2 > 3.5$	0.00013	0.11	
LHeC+HERA	$Q^{2} > 7.0$	0.00024	0.20	
LHeC+HERA	$Q^2 > 10.$	0.00030	0.26	

Table 3: Results of NLO QCD fits to HERA data (top, without and with jets) to the simulated LHeC data alone and to their combination, for details of the fit see [5]. The resulting uncertainty includes all the statistical and experimental systematic error sources taking their correlations into account. The LHeC result does not include jet data.

- LHeC/FCCeh lead to 0.1% uncertainty (stat+syst), free of previous DIS deficiencies (HT,nc)
- Joint determination with parton distributions (maybe simplified as H1 published in 2001)
- Needs clarity about low x behaviour as this uses DGLAP.
- Requires to control heavy flavour (theory) at new level (measure s, c, b, t also)
- Very high precision of NC (y and Z) and CC and extension to x near 1 will drastically reduce the PDF parameterisation uncertainties
- Scale uncertainties require that N³LO formalism be applied (the bizarre 1/2 .. 2 rule.??)
- The attempt to measure the strong coupling in DIS to permille accuracy requires nothing less than a renaissance of experimental and theoretical DIS (ep) physics

The Case for the LHeC

From the CDR 2012 to the time ahead 2018+



Contribution to a Panel on Future DIS, 17.4.2018, Kobe, for the LHeC/FCCeh Study Group

Max Klein Kobe 17.4.18

http://lhec.web.cern.ch