DRAFT 1.0 Geneva, September 3, 2011 CERN report ECFA report NuPECC report LHeC-Note-2011-003 GEN





A Large Hadron Electron Collider at CERN

Report on the Physics and Design Concepts for Machine and Detector

LHeC Study Group THIS IS THE VERSION FOR REFEREEING, NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION



IPAC, San Sebastian, 7.9.11 - Dedicated to Gus Weber (1925-2011)

The LHeC Project at CERN

Design Concepts for the LHeC [WEODA03]

Max Klein (U.Liverpool+CERN)

for the LHeC Study Group



Civil Engineering Studies for Major Projects after LHC

Considerations Physics Accelerator Detector Time Schedule

The Fermi Scale [1985-2010]



Two Options

$$L = \frac{N_{p}\gamma}{4\pi e\varepsilon_{pn}} \cdot \frac{I_{e}}{\sqrt{\beta_{px}\beta_{py}}}$$

$$N_{p} = 1.7 \cdot 10^{11}, \varepsilon_{p} = 3.8\,\mu m, \beta_{px(y)} = 1.8(0.5)m, \gamma = \frac{E_{p}}{M_{p}}$$

$$L = 8.2 \cdot 10^{32} cm^{-2} s^{-1} \cdot \frac{N_{p} 10^{-11}}{1.7} \cdot \frac{m}{\sqrt{\beta_{px}\beta_{py}}} \cdot \frac{I_{e}}{50mA}$$

$$I_{e} = 0.35mA \cdot P[MW] \cdot (100/E_{e}[GeV])^{4}$$

Ring-Ring

Power Limit of 100 MW wall plug "ultimate" LHC proton beam **60 GeV** e[±] beam

$$\rightarrow$$
L = 2 10³³ cm⁻²s⁻¹ \rightarrow O(100) fb⁻¹

LINAC Ring Pulsed, 60 GeV: ~ 10^{32} High luminosity: Energy recovery: P=P₀/(1- η) $\beta^*=0.1m$ [5 times smaller than LHC by reduced I*, only one p squeezed and IR quads as for HL-LHC] L = 10^{33} cm⁻²s⁻¹ \rightarrow O(100) fb⁻¹

$$L = \frac{1}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{N_p}{\varepsilon_p} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta^*} \cdot \gamma \cdot \frac{I_e}{e}$$

$$N_p = 1.7 \cdot 10^{11}, \varepsilon_p = 3.8 \,\mu m, \beta^* = 0.2m, \gamma = 7000 / 0.94$$

$$L = 8 \cdot 10^{31} cm^{-2} s^{-1} \cdot \frac{N_p 10^{-11}}{1.7} \cdot \frac{0.2}{\beta^* / m} \cdot \frac{I_e / mA}{1}$$

$$I_e = mA \frac{P / MW}{E_e / GeV}$$

Synchronous ep and pp operation (small ep tuneshifts)

The LHC p beams provide 100 times HERA's luminosity





Figure 1: Schematic Layout of the LHC (grey/red) with the bypasses of CMS and ATLAS for the ring electron beam (blue) in the RR version. The *e* injector is a 10 GeV super-conducting linac in triple racetrack configuration which is considered to reach the ring via the bypass around ATLAS.





Bypassing ATLAS





60 GeV Energy Recovery Linac



Two 10 GeV energy recovery Linacs, 3 returns, 720 MHz cavities



Figure 10.11: View on the ERL placed inside the LHC ring and tangential to IP2. TI2 is the injection line into the LHC. The insert shows the view towards IP2, which currently houses the ALICE experiment, from the direction of the protons colliding with the electron beam incoming from behind.

The TeV Scale [2010-2035..]



I	Introd	uction
---	--------	--------

1 Lepton-Hadron Scattering

- 1.1 $\,$ Development and Contributions . .
- 1.2 Open Questions .
- 2 Design Considerations
 - 2.1 DIS and Particle Physics2.2 Synchronous pp and ep operation
 - 2.3 Choice of Electron Beam Energy .
 - 2.4 Detector Constraints
 - 2.5 Two Electron Beam Options . . .
 - 2.6 Luminosity and Power

Default energy: E_e=60 GeV

So far LQ limits ~0.5 TeV

 $Q^2 >> M_Z^2$

```
Gluon saturation at x ~10<sup>-5</sup>
in the DIS region Q^2 > M_n^2
```

```
Synchrotron radiation \sim E_e^4
```

Cost and Luminosity:

L = 100 L_{HERA} , Q² and 1/x = 20 HERA

[LHC in 2014 may affect that choice.]

Why differ leptons from quarks? (Leptopartons) Higgs? (production via gg (SM), bb(MSSM), quartic selfcoupling) Mapping of the Gluon Field (next slide) Non pQCD – 10 dim string theory (BFKL, odderon) Ultimate precision of α_s and $\sin^2\Theta$ (0.1%, μ dependence) Determination of ALL quark distributions Confinement?? (Diffraction) Generalised parton distributions (DVCS) DGLAP \rightarrow BFKL? (saturation of gluon density) Structure of the neutron (no eD at HERA) Partons in nuclei (4 orders of magnitude extended range) New singly produced states (e^{*}) Unfolding of Contact interaction effects (up to 50 TeV)

The LHeC has an outstanding, unique programme, which is complementary to the LHC. It requires:

...

High energy, high luminosity, polarised e[±], p, D, A. The LHC provides all of that if complemented by an intense, high energy electron beam. This determines the schedule, and the site is no question.

II Physics



6.2.1 Strategy: decreasing x and increasing A

6.2.5 Jet and multi-jet observables, parton dynamics and fragmentation 6.2.6 Implications for ultra-high energy neutrino interactions and detectio



Figure 4.17: Relative uncertainty of the gluon distribution at $Q^2 = 1.9 \text{ GeV}^2$, as resulting from an NLO QCD fit to HERA (I) alone (green, outer), HERA and BCDMS (crossed), HERA and LHC (light blue, crossed) and the LHeC added (blue, dark). Left: logarithmic x, right: linear x.

Precision measurement of gluon density to extreme $x - \alpha_s$ Low x: saturation? radical change of understanding High x: xg and valence quarks most crucial for new states Gluon in Pomeron, odderon, photon, nuclei.. Local spots in p? Heavy quarks intrinsic or only gluonic

Electron-Ion Scattering: $eA \rightarrow eX$



x

LHeC Accelerator Design: Participating Institutes



TUPC017 Civil Engineering Studies for Major Projects after LHC John Andrew Osborne, Frederic Magnin, Eliseo Perez-Duenas

TUPC045 Recirculating Electron Linacs (REL) for LHeC and eRHIC Dejan Trbojevic, Joanne Beebe-Wang, Yue Hao, Dmitry Kayran, Vladimir N. Litvinenko, Vadim Ptitsyn, Nicholaos Tsoupas

TUPC054 LHeC ERL Design and Beam-dynamics Issues

Alex Bogacz, Ilkyoung Shin, Daniel Schulte, Frank Zimmermann

WEODA03 Design Concepts for the Large Hadron Electron Collider

Max Klein for the LHeC Study Group

WEPZ013 Design Status of LHeC Linac-Ring Interaction Region

Rogelio Tomas, Jose Luis Abelleira, Stephan Hans Russenschuck, Frank Zimmermann, Nathan Rogers Bernard

THPZ014 LHeC Lattice Design

Miriam Fitterer, Oliver Sim Bruening, Helmut Burkhardt, Bernhard Johannes Holzer, John M. Jowett, Karl Hubert Mess, Thys Risselada, Anke-Susanne Mueller, Max Klein

THPZ015 Synchrotron Radiation in the Interaction Region for a Ring-Ring and Linac-Ring LHeC

Nathan Rogers Bernard, Bernhard Johannes Holzer, Rogelio Tomas, Frank Zimmermann, Peter Kostka, Max Klein, Boris Nagorny, Uwe Schneekloth, Robert Appleby, Luke Thompson

THPZ016 Interaction Region Design for a Ring-Ring LHeC

Luke Thompson, Bernhard Johannes Holzer, Miriam Fitterer, Peter Kostka, Max Klein, Nathan Rogers Bernard, Robert Appleby

THPZ023 LHeC Spin Rotator

Mei Bai, Rogelio Tomas, Frank Zimmermann





7	Rin	g-Ring	g Collider
	7.1	Baseli	ne Parameters and Configuration
	7.2	Geom	etry
		7.2.1	General Layout
		7.2.2	Electron Ring Circumference
		7.2.3	Idealised Ring
		7.2.4	Bypass Options
		7.2.5	Bypass Point 1
		7.2.6	Bypasses Point 5
		7.2.7	Matching Proton and Electron Ring Circumference
	7.3	Layou	t and Optics
		7.3.1	Arc Cell Layout and Optics
		7.3.2	Insertion Layout and Optics
		7.3.3	Bypass Layout and Optics
		7.3.4	Chromaticity Correction
		7.3.5	Working Point
		7.3.6	Aperture
		7.3.7	Complete Lattice and Optics
	7.4	Intera	ction Region Layout
		7.4.1	Beam Separation Scheme
		7.4.2	Crossing Angle
		7.4.3	Beam Optics and Luminosity
		7.4.4	High Luminosity IR Layout
		7.4.5	High Acceptance IR Layout
		7.4.0	Comparison of High Luminosity and High Acceptance Opt
	75	(.4.) Deem	Synchrotron radiation and absorbers
	1.5	751	Used on beam beam effects
		759	Long range beam beam effects
	76	Porfor	mance as an electron ion collider
	1.0	761	Heavy nuclei e-Ph collisions
		762	Electron-deuteron collisions
	7.7	Spin r	polarisation – an overview
		7.7.1	Self polarisation
		7.7.2	Suppression of depolarisation – spin matching
		7.7.3	Higher order resonances
		7.7.4	Calculations of the e^{\pm} polarisation in the LHeC
		7.7.5	Spin rotator concepts for the LHeC
		7.7.6	Further work
		7.7.7	Summary
	7.8	Integr	ation and machine protection issues
		7.8.1	Space requirements
		7.8.2	Impact of the synchrotron radiation on tunnel electronics
		7.8.3	Compatibility with the proton beam loss system
		7.8.4	Space requirements for the electron dump
		7.8.5	Protection of the p-machine against heavy electron losses
		7.8.6	How to combine the Machine Protection of both rings? .
	7.9	LHeC	Injector for the Ring-Ring option
		7.9.1	Injector
		7.9.2	Required performance
		= 0.0	
		7.9.3	Source, accumulator and acceleration to 0.6 GeV
		7.9.4	10 GeV injector

FODO (half LHC size, asy dipoles, 23 arc cells)





Figure 7.15: Working Point for the 1° optics. The dashed lines are the coupling resonances up to 4th order, the solid lines the constructive resonances up to 4th order. The black dot indicates the working point without beam-beam tune shift and the blue one with beam-beam tune shift.

Ding Ding Callida

RIII	ig-Ring	g Connder
7.1	Baseli	ne Parameters and Configuration
7.2	Geom	etry
	7.2.1	General Layout
	7.2.2	Electron Ring Circumference
	7.2.3	Idealised Ring
	7.2.4	Bypass Options
	7.2.5	Bypass Point 1
	7.2.6	Bypasses Point 5
	7.2.7	Matching Proton and Electron Ring Circumference
7.3	Layou	and Optics
	7.3.1	Arc Cell Lavout and Optics
	7.3.2	Insertion Lavout and Optics
	7.3.3	Bypass Lavout and Optics
	7.3.4	Chromaticity Correction
	7.3.5	Working Point
	736	Aperture
	737	Complete Lattice and Optics
74	Intera	ction Region Lavout
1.1	7/1	Beam Separation Scheme
	749	Crossing Angle
	7 4 2	Ream Ontics and Luminosity
	74.0	High Luminosity ID Levent
	745	High Accontance ID Levent
	7.4.5	Comparison of High Luminosity and High Acceptance Opt
	7.4.0	Comparison of High Luminosity and High Acceptance Opt
	(.4.) D	Synchrotron radiation and absorbers
7.5	Beam	Jead on been been effects
	7.5.1	Head-on beam-beam effects
- 0	7.5.2	Long range beam-beam enects
7.6	Perior	mance as an electron-ion collider
	7.6.1	Heavy nuclei, e-Pb collisions
	7.6.2	Electron-deuteron collisions
7.7	Spin I	polarisation – an overview
	7.7.1	Self polarisation
	7.7.2	Suppression of depolarisation – spin matching
	7.7.3	Higher order resonances
	7.7.4	Calculations of the e^{\perp} polarisation in the LHeC
	7.7.5	Spin rotator concepts for the LHeC
	7.7.6	Further work
	7.7.7	Summary
7.8	Integr	ation and machine protection issues
	7.8.1	Space requirements
	7.8.2	Impact of the synchrotron radiation on tunnel electronics
	7.8.3	Compatibility with the proton beam loss system
	7.8.4	Space requirements for the electron dump
	7.8.5	Protection of the p-machine against heavy electron losses
	7.8.6	How to combine the Machine Protection of both rings? .
7.9	LHeC	Injector for the Ring-Ring option
	7.9.1	Injector
	7.9.2	Required performance
	7.9.3	Source, accumulator and acceleration to 0.6 GeV
	7.9.4	$10 \mathrm{GeV}$ injector

Constraints:

Bypass existing LHC experiments

 $U_p = U_e \rightarrow \text{shift of e ring to inside}$

100kg/m tunnel load limit: support from below (HERA)



Figure 7.47: Cross-section of the LHC tunnel with the original space holder for the electron beam installation directly above the LHC cryostat and the shifted new required space due to the additional bypass in IR1 and IR5 and the need to keep the overall circumference of the electron ring identical to that of the proton beams.

Issues:

QRL service with jumper – asymmetric FODO transport: magnets ok, cryo equipment full height

occurs during warmup - shift locally e beam?

dump area – reroute cables

proton rf -- e "just a pipe"

SEU's from e: shielding, LHC power converters then out IP3, LSS7, p collimation ...

no show stopper found but challenging and CAD needed next

8 Linac-Ring Collider

8.1	Basic 1	Parameters and Configurations
	8.1.1	General Considerations
	8.1.2	ERL Performance and Layout
	8.1.3	Polarization
	8.1.4	Pulsed Linacs
	8.1.5	Highest-Energy LHeC ERL Option
	8.1.6	γ -p/A Option
	8.1.7	Summary of Basic Parameters and Configurations
8.2	Interac	ction region
	8.2.1	Layout
	8.2.2	Optics
	8.2.3	Modifications for γp or γ -A
	8.2.4	Synchrotron radiation and absorbers
8.3	Linac	Lattice and Impedance
	8.3.1	Overall Layout
	8.3.2	Linac Layout and Lattice
	8.3.3	Beam Break-Up
	8.3.4	Imperfections
8.4	Perfor	mance as a Linac-Ring electron-ion collider
	8.4.1	Heavy nuclei, e-Pb collisions
	8.4.2	Electron-deuteron collisions
8.5	Polariz	zed-Electron Injector for the Linac-Ring LHeC
8.6	Spin F	Rotator
8.7	Positro	on Options for the Linac-Ring LHeC
	8.7.1	Motivation
	8.7.2	LHeC Linac-Ring e^+ Requirements
	8.7.3	Mitigation Schemes
	8.7.4	Positron Production Schemes
	8.7.5	Targets
	8.7.6	Conventional Scheme based on e^- Beam Hitting Target .
	8.7.7	Compton Sources
	8.7.8	Undulator Source
	8.7.9	Source based on Coherent Pair Creation
	8.7.10	Conclusions

Cumulative transverse deflections from each cavity so far ok to 5mA

TUPC054 LHeC ERL Design and Beam-dynamics Issues ERL stores energy while ring stores electrons Same RF for acc and deacc. \rightarrow rf acc power independent of I Switchyard: two-step spreaders and mirror symmetric recomb.



Multipass linear optics: sharing of arcs by acc/deacc. passes



Figure 2: Multi-pass linac optics optimized for 3-pass ERL As a virtue of ER, Linac 1 and 2 are mirror reflections.

9	\mathbf{Sys}	tem D	esign
	9.1	Magne	ets for the Interaction Region
		9.1.1	Introduction
		9.1.2	Magnets for the ring-ring option
		9.1.3	Magnets for the linac-ring option
	9.2	Accele	erator Magnets
		9.2.1	Dipole Magnets
		9.2.2	CEDN Model
		9.2.3	Quadrupole and Corrector Magnets
	93	Bing-l	Ring RF Design
	0.0	9.3.1	Design Parameters
		9.3.2	Cavities and klystrons
	94	Linac-	Ring RF Design
	5.4	941	Design Parameters
		942	Lavout and RF powering
		943	Are RF systems
	9.5	Crah	crossing for the LHeC
	0.0	951	Luminosity Reduction
		952	Crossing Schemes
		953	BF Technology
	9.6	Vacuu	m
	0.0	961	Vacuum requirements
		962	Synchrotron radiation
		963	Vacuum engineering issues
	97	Beam	Pine Design
	0.1	9.7.1	Requirements
		9.7.2	Choice of Materials for beampipes
		9.7.3	Beampipe Geometries
		974	Vacuum Instrumentation
		9.7.5	Synchrotron Radiation Masks
		9.7.6	Installation and Integration
	9.8	Crvog	enics
		9.8.1	Ring-Ring Cryogenics Design
		9.8.2	Linac-Ring Cryogenics Design
		9.8.3	General Conclusions Cryogenics for LHeC
	9.9	Beam	Dumps and Injection Regions
		9.9.1	Injection Region Design for Ring-Ring Option
		9.9.2	Injection transfer line for the Ring-Ring Option
		9.9.3	60 GeV internal dump for Ring-Ring Option
		9.9.4	Post collision line for 140 GeV Linac-Ring option
		9,9.5	Absorber for 140 GeV Linac-Ring option
		9.9.6	Energy deposition studies for the Linac-Ring option
		9.9.7	Beam line dump for ERL Linac-Ring option
		9.9.8	Absorber for ERL Linac-Ring option
		0.0.0	resources for their human tang option







TABLE II REPRODUCIBILITY OF MAGNETIC FIELD OVER 8 CYCLES

Model	Low field	High fields
Maximum Relative Deviation fr	om Average	
Model 1 (NiFe steel)	5·10 ⁻⁵	4·10 ⁻⁵
Model 2 (Low carbon steel)	6·10 ⁻⁵	6·10 ⁻⁵
Model 3 (Grain oriented 3.5% Si steel)	4·10 ⁻⁵	6·10 ⁻⁵
Standard Deviation from A	verage	
Model 1 (NiFe steel)	3·10 ⁻⁵	3.10-5
Model 2 (Low carbon steel)	4·10 ⁻⁵	5·10 ⁻⁵
Model 3 (Grain oriented 3.5% Si steel)	2.10-5	4.10-5

Prototypes from BINP and CERN: function to spec's

0	C	tom Degion
9	5 ys	Magnets for the Interaction Pagion
	9.1	9.1.1 Introduction
		9.1.2 Magnets for the ring-ring option
		9.1.3 Magnets for the linac-ring option
	9.2	Accelerator Magnets
		9.2.1 Dipole Magnets
		9.2.2 BINP Model
		9.2.3 CERN Model
		9.2.4 Quadrupole and Corrector Magnets
	9.3	Ring-Ring RF Design
		9.3.1 Design Parameters
		9.3.2 Cavities and klystrons
	9.4	Linac-Ring RF Design
		9.4.1 Design Parameters
		9.4.2 Layout and RF powering
		9.4.3 Arc RF systems
	9.5	Crab crossing for the LHeC
		9.5.1 Luminosity Reduction
		9.5.2 Crossing Schemes
		9.5.3 RF Technology
	9.6	Vacuum
		9.6.1 Vacuum requirements
		9.6.2 Synchrotron radiation
		9.6.3 Vacuum engineering issues
	9.7	Beam Pipe Design
		9.7.1 Requirements
		9.7.2 Choice of Materials for beampipes
		9.7.3 Beampipe Geometries
		9.7.4 Vacuum Instrumentation
		9.7.5 Synchrotron Radiation Masks
		9.7.6 Installation and Integration
	9.8	Cryogenics
		9.8.1 Ring-Ring Cryogenics Design
		9.8.2 Linac-Ring Cryogenics Design
		9.8.3 General Conclusions Cryogenics for LHeC
	9.9	Beam Dumps and Injection Regions
		9.9.1 Injection Region Design for Ring-Ring Option
		9.9.2 Injection transfer line for the Ring-Ring Option
		9.9.3 60 GeV internal dump for Ring-Ring Option
		9.9.4 $$ Post collision line for 140 GeV Linac-Ring option
		9.9.5 Absorber for 140 GeV Linac-Ring option
		9.9.6 Energy deposition studies for the Linac-Ring option
		9.9.7 Beam line dump for ERL Linac-Ring option
		9.9.8 Absorber for ERL Linac-Ring option

	Ring	Linac
magnets		
beam energy	60 (GeV
number of dipoles	3080	3600
dipole field [T]	0.013 - 0.076	0.046 - 0.264
total nr of quads	866	1588
RF and cryogenics		
number of cavities	112	944
gradient [MV/m]	11.9	20
RF power [MW]	49	39
cavity voltage [MV]	5	21.2
cavity R/Q [Ω]	114	285
cavity Q_0	_	$2.5 \ 10^{10}$
cooling power [kW]	5.4@4.2 K	30@2 K

Table 2: Components of the Electron Accelerators



systems will consist of a complex task. Further cavities and cryomodules will require a limited R&D program. From this we expect improved quality factors with respect to today's state of the art. The cryogenics of the L-R version consists of a formidable engineering challenge, however, it is feasible and, CERN disposes of the respective know-how.

D / /

	Jetect	or	
12 Det	ector 1	Requirements	
12.1	Requir	rements on the LHeC Detector	
	12.1.1	Installation and Magnets	- I
	12.1.2	Kinematic reconstruction	
	12.1.3	Acceptance regions - scattered electron	
	12.1.4	Acceptance regions - hadronic final state	
	12.1.5	Acceptance at the High Energy LHC	
	12.1.6	Energy Resolution and Calibration	
	12.1.7	Tracking Requirements	
	12.1.8	Particle Identification Requirements	
	12.1.9	Summary of the Requirements on the LHeC Detector	
19 G	4I D	-44	
13 Cer 12 1	Barial	etector Detector Decemintion	
15.1	12 1 1	Baseline Detector Lawout	
	13.1.1	An Alternative Solenoid Placement - Option B	
13.2	15.1.2 Magne	All Alternative Solehold Tracement - Option B	
10.2	13.2.1	Magnets configuration	
	13.2.2	Detector Solenoid	
	13.2.3	Detector integrated e-beam bending dipoles	
	13.2.4	Cryogenics for magnets and calorimeter	
	13.2.5	Twin Solenoid System	
13.3	Tracki	ng Detector	<
	13.3.1	Tracking Detector - Baseline Layout	
	13.3.2	Performance	
	13.3.3	Tracking detector design criteria and possible solutions	
13.4	Calori	metry	
	13.4.1	The Barrel Electromagnetic Calorimeter	
	13.4.2	The Hadronic Barrel Calorimeter	
	13.4.3	Endcap Calorimeters	
13.5	Calori	meter Simulation	
	13.5.1	The Barrel LAr Calorimeter Simulation	۰۰۰۰۰
	13.5.2	The Barrel Tile Calorimeter Simulation	
	13.5.3	Combined Liquid Argon and Tile Calorimeter Simulation	
	13.5.4	Lead-Scintillator Electromagnetic Option	
10.0	13.5.5	Forward and Backward Inserts Calorimeter Simulation	
13.0	Calori	Detector	
15.7	1971	Muon detector design	
	13.7.1	The I HeC muon detector options	
	13.7.2	Forward Muon Extensions	
	1374	Muon Detector Summary	
13.8	Event	and Detector Simulations	
10.0	13.8.1	Pvthia6	
	13.8.2	1 MeV Neutron Equivalent	
	13.8.3	Nearest Neighbor	
	13.8.4	Cross Checking	
	13.8.5	Future Goals	
14 Fo	rward	and Backward Detectors	
14.	1 Lumi	nosity Measurement and Electron Tagging	
	14.1.1	Options	
	14.1.2	2 Use of the Main LHeC Detector	
	14.1.3	B Dedicated Luminosity Detectors in the tunnel .	
	14.1.4	Summary and Open Overtions	T .
14	2 Polar	imeter	Figu
11.	14.2.1	Polarisation from the scattered photons	
	14.2.2	Polarisation from the scattered electrons	C :
14.	3 Zero	Degree Calorimeter	21
	14.3.1	ZDC detector design	
	14.3.2	2 Neutron Calorimete 2 Proton Calorimeter	
	14.3.3	Calibration and mo	
14.	4 Forwa	ard Proton Detection	
11	_ 01 /10		Dro

Requirements High Precision (resolution, calibration, low noise, tagging of b,c) Modular for 'fast' installation State of the art technology - 'no' R+D (HERA,LHC upgrade) 1-179° acceptance for low Q², high x (beam pipe, synrad)



tracker, LAr elm cal, sc coil 3.5T, Tile hcal, Muon detector not shown

Present dimensions: LxD =14x9m² [CMS 21 x 15m², ATLAS 45 x 25 m²] Taggers at -62m (e),100m (y,LR), -22.4m (y,RR), +100m (n), +420m (p)

LHeC Tentative Time Schedule





We base our estimates for the project time line on the experience of other projects, such as (LEP, LHC and LINAC4 at CERN and the European XFEL at DESY and the PSI XFEL)





Figure 11.1: CERN medium term plan (MTP), draft as of July 2011

Table 1: Parameters of the RR and RL Configurations			
	Ring	Linac	
electron beam			
beam energy E_e	$60~{ m Ge}$	eV	
e^- (e^+) per bunch N_e [10 ⁹]	20(20)	1(0.1)	
e^{-} (e^{+}) polarisation [%]	40(40)	90(0)	
bunch length [mm]	10	0.6	
tr. emittance at IP $\gamma \epsilon_{x,y}^{e}$ [mm]	$0.58, \ 0.29$	0.05	
IP β function $\beta_{x,y}^*$ [m]	0.4, 0.2	0.12	
beam current [mÅ]	131	6.6	
energy recovery intensity gain	_	17	
total wall plug power	100 MW		
syn rad power [kW]	51	49	
critical energy [keV]	163	718	
proton beam			
beam energy E_p	$7~{ m TeV}$		
protons per bunch N_p	$1.7 \cdot 10^{11}$		
transverse emittance $\gamma \epsilon_{x,y}^p$	$3.75~\mu{ m m}$		
collider			
Lum $e^- p (e^+ p) [10^{32} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]$	9(9)	10(1)	
bunch spacing	$25\mathrm{ns}$		
rms beam spot size $\sigma_{x,y}$ [µm]	30, 16	7	
crossing angle θ [mrad]	1	0	
$L_{eN} = A L_{eA} \ [10^{32} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]$	0.3	1	

Both the ring and the linac are feasible and both come very close to the desired performance. The pleasant challenge is to soon decide for one.

CERN-ECFA-NuPECC:

CDR Draft (530pages) being refereed Publish early 2012

Steps towards TDR (tentative)

-Prototype IR magnet (3 beams)
-Prototype Dipole (1:1)
-Develop Cavity/Cryomodule
-Civil Engineering, ...

Build international collaborations for the accelerator and detector development. Strong links to ongoing accelerator and detector projects.

The LHC offers the unique perspective for a further TeV scale collider. The LINAC's are of about 2mile length, yet the Q² is 10⁵ times larger than was achieved when SLAC discovered quarks. Particle physics needs pp, II and ep. **Here is a realistic prospect to progress.**



LHeC Study Group

J. Abelleira Fernandez^{10,15}, C.Adolphsen³⁹, S.Alekhin⁴⁰,¹¹, A.N.Akai⁰¹, H.Aksakal³⁰, P.Allport¹⁷, J.L.Albacete³⁷, V.Andreev²⁵, R.B.Appleby²³, N.Armesto³⁸, G.Azuelos²⁶, M.Bai⁴⁷, D.Barber¹¹, J.Bartels¹², J.Behr¹¹, O.Behnke¹¹, S.Belyaev¹⁰, I.BenZvi⁴⁷, N.Bernard¹⁶, S.Bertolucci¹⁰, S.Bettoni¹⁰, S.Biswal³², J.Bluemlein¹¹, H.Boettcher¹¹, H.Braun⁴⁸, S.Brodsky³⁹, A.Bogacz²⁸, C.Bracco¹⁰, O.Bruening¹⁰, E.Bulyak⁰⁸, A.Bunyatian¹¹, H.Burkhardt¹⁰, I.T.Cakir⁵⁴, O.Cakir⁵³, R.Calaga⁴⁷, E.Ciapala¹⁰, R.Ciftci⁰¹, A.K.Ciftci⁰¹, B.A.Cole²⁹, J.C.Collins⁴⁶, J.Dainton¹⁷, A.De.Roeck¹⁰, D.d'Enterria¹⁰, A.Dudarev¹⁰, A.Eide⁴³, E.Eroglu⁴⁵, K.J.Eskola¹⁴, L.Favart⁰⁶, M.Fitterer¹⁰, S.Forte²⁴, P.Gambino⁴², T.Gehrmann⁵⁰, C.Glasman²², R.Godbole²⁷, B.Goddard¹⁰, T.Greenshaw¹⁷, A.Guffanti⁰⁹, V. Guzey²⁸, C.Gwenlan³⁴, T.Han³⁶, Y.Hao⁴⁷, F.Haug¹⁰, W.Herr¹⁰, B.Holzer¹⁰, M.Ishitsuka⁴¹, M.Jacquet³³, B.Jeanneret¹⁰, J.M.Jimenez¹⁰, H.Jung¹¹, J.M.Jowett¹⁰, H.Karadeniz⁵⁴, D.Kayran⁴⁷, F.Kocac⁴⁵, A.Kilic⁴⁵, K.Kimura⁴¹, M.Klein¹⁷, U.Klein¹⁷, T.Kluge¹⁷, G.Kramer¹², M.Korostelev²³, A.Kosmicki¹⁰, P.Kostka¹¹, H.Kowalski¹¹, D.Kuchler¹⁰, M.Kuze⁴¹, T.Lappi¹⁴, P.Laycock¹⁷, E.Levichev³¹, S.Levonian¹¹, V.N.Litvinenko⁴⁷, A.Lombardi¹⁰, C.Marquet¹⁰, B.Mellado⁰⁷, K.H.Mess¹⁰, S.Moch¹¹, I.I.Morozov³¹, Y.Muttoni¹⁰, S.Myers¹⁰, S.Nandi²⁶, P.R.Newman⁰³, T.Omori⁴⁴, J.Osborne¹⁰, Y.Papaphilippou¹⁰, E.Paoloni³⁵, C.Pascaud³³, H.Paukkunen³⁸, E.Perez¹⁰, T.Pieloni¹⁵, E.Pilicer⁴⁵, A.Polini⁰⁴, V.Ptitsyn⁴⁷, Y.Pupkov³¹, V.Radescu¹³, S.Raychaudhuri²⁷, L.Rinolf¹⁰, R.Rohini²⁷, J.Rojo²⁴, S.Russenschuck¹⁰, C.A.Salgado³⁸, K.Sampei⁴¹, E.Sauvan¹⁹, M.Sahin⁰¹, U.Schneekloth¹¹, A.N.Skrinsky³¹, T.Schoerner Sadenius¹¹, D.Schulte¹⁰, H.Spiesberger²¹, A.M.Stasto⁴⁶, M.Strikman⁴⁶, M.Sullivan³⁹, B.Surrow⁰⁵, S.Sultansoy⁰¹, Y.P.Sun³⁹, W.Smith²⁰, I.Tapan⁴⁵, P.Taels⁰², E.Tassi⁵², H.Ten.Kate¹⁰, J.Terron²², H.Thiesen¹⁰, L.Thompson²³, K.Tokushuku⁴⁴, R.Tomas.Garcia¹⁰, D.Tommasini¹⁰, D.Trbojevic⁴⁷, N.Tsoupas⁴⁷, J.Tuckmantel¹⁰, S.Turkoz⁵³, K.Tywoniuk¹⁸, G.Unel¹⁰, J.Urakawa⁴⁴, P.VanMechelen⁰², A.Variola³⁷, R.Veness¹⁰, A.Vivoli¹⁰, P.Vobly³¹, R.Wallny⁵¹, G.Watt¹⁰, G.Weiglein¹², C.Weiss²⁸, U.A.Wiedemann¹⁰, U.Wienands³⁹, F.Willeke⁴⁷, V.Yakimenko⁴⁷, A.F.Zarnecki⁴⁹, F.Zimmermann¹⁰, F.Zomer³³

About 150 Experimentalists and Theorists from 50 Institutes Tentative list Thanks to all and to CERN, ECFA, NuPECC

backup





Interaction Region(s)

RR -Small crossing angle ~1mrad (25ns) to avoid first parasitic crossing (L x 0.77) LR – Head on collisions, dipole in detector to separate beams Synchrotron radiation –direct and back, absorption simulated (GEANT4) ..



separation 8.5cm, MQY cables, 7600 A