

Report from Large Detector workshop in Paris.

- Goals of workshop:
 - ◆ Set up detector concept study team, choose leadership.
 - ◆ Review detector technologies, R&D needs...
- Detector concept teams should:
 - ◆ Optimise performance against physics benchmarks.
 - ◆ Set requirements on sub-system R&D.
 - ◆ Interface with machine.
 - ◆ Produce integrated design.
 - ◆ Bring in new groups.
- ◆ Prepare for LCWS, Snowmass, detector outline including costing, CDR...
- ◆ Prepare ground for competitive proposals.
- Important to involve all three regions.
- “Large Detector” is essentially synthesis of TESLA TDR detector and American TPC based detector with 4T magnetic field.
- Other alternatives are:
 - ◆ “Small Detector” with silicon tracker (SiD) and 5T field.
 - ◆ “Huge Detector” with large TPC and 2..3T field.

Report from Paris

- Progress towards goals:
 - ◆ Names will be sought for “Large Detector concept” leadership, two from each of Europe, America, Asia, by R-D Heuer, D Miller, Brau, M Oreglia, H Yamamoto, S Komamiya.
 - ◆ Reviews of detector technologies and state of R&D presented.
 - ◆ Discussions on requirements in detectors and possible physics benchmark reactions held.
- MDI workshop report (Steve W and Dave C were there!)
- Detector technologies:
 - Vertex detector:
 - ◆ CCD, DEPFET, MAPS, FPCCD, FAPS, ISIS options under study.
 - ◆ Groups aiming to demonstrate function of full-scale ladders – timescale not yet clear.
 - ◆ Issues are readout speed, radiation hardness, minimising material budget, resistance to RF pickup...
 - ◆ Compare by designing realistic VXD based on each technology and performing full simulation of performance.

Report from Paris

■ TPC:

- ◆ How to readout? GEM, Micromegas...
- ◆ How to keep end walls $\ll 0.3X_0$?
- ◆ Aim for 100 μm res. (x, y).
- ◆ Res. in z $\sim 3\text{mm}$ for 1m drift.
- ◆ Optimisation of TPC length?

■ Forward tracker etc.

- ◆ Intermediate tracker 5 layers.
- ◆ Forward tracker 4 layers.
- ◆ Outer tracker 3 layers.
- ◆ Optimise with calo. to identify conversions.

■ Calorimeter:

- ◆ Si/W for EM, shashlik or hybrid for hadronic section, but silicon costs decreasing. Complete calo. Si readout implies cost $\sim \$50\text{M}$?
- ◆ Hermeticity crucial.
- ◆ Optimise (with TPC) for jet energy resolution.
- ◆ Finer granularity in first layers?
- ◆ Had calo. analogue or digital?
- ◆ Coil in Had calo?
- ◆ Scintillator and gas based digital calo.s behave differently.
- ◆ Different simulation programs give different results.

Report from Paris

■ Reference quantities:

- ◆ $\delta p/p$.
- ◆ σ_{IP} .
- ◆ $\delta E_{jet}/E_{jet}$.
- ◆ e ID, μ ID, h ID.
- ◆ low θ veto.
- ◆ E_{miss} .
- ◆ jet charge.

■ Reference reactions (“VXD” only):

- ◆ Higgs branching ratios
- ◆ $ee \rightarrow HHZ$.
- ◆ A_{FB} in $ee \rightarrow b\bar{b}$.

■ Some comments:

- ◆ People want to see influence on physics measurement of increase of beam pipe radius.
- ◆ Must continue to demonstrate importance of minimising material budget.
- ◆ Need to start thinking seriously about Lorentz angle effects in CPCCD.