CHEP 2002

Direct CP asymmetries and charmless branching fractions with BABAR

Adrian Bevan

THE UNIVERSITY of LIVERPOOL

For the BABAR Collaboration

Overview

- Motivation: Direct CP Violation (CPV)
  New Physics sensitivity e.g. $X_S\gamma$, ϕK
  Penguins



- Event Selection Methods
- Results: Charmless decays, $K^*\gamma$, $D^0_{CP}K^-$
- Summary and outlook

Direct CP Violation

$$|A_f|^2 - |\overline{A}_{\overline{f}}|^2 \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{direct CPV}$$

- **need interference between diagrams with different strong (δ_i) and weak phases (ϕ_i) :**

$$\Rightarrow \; |A_f|^2 - |\overline{A}_{\overline{f}}|^2 = -2\sum_{i,j} A_i A_j \sin(\phi_i - \phi_j)\sin(\delta_i - \delta_j)$$

- **Direct CPV only seen in $K^0\overline{K}^0$; ε' ~ few 10^{-6}**
- **theory predicts large asymmetries in $B^{+/0}$ (few to 80%)**

- **Diagrams from New Physics (e.g. SUSY) can modify SM asymmetries/branching fractions**

- **Experimentally - look for CP asymmetries:**

$$A_{CP} = \frac{Br(\overline{B} \to \overline{f}) - Br(B \to f)}{Br(B \to f) + Br(\overline{B} \to \overline{f})}$$

- **Neutral B – time dependent asymmetry**

$$f_{\pm}(\Delta t) = \frac{e^{-|\Delta t|/\tau}}{4\tau}\left[1 \pm S_f \sin(\Delta m_d \Delta t) \mp C_f \cos(\Delta m_d \Delta t)\right]$$

direct CPV

$C \neq 0$ ⟸ direct CPV; e.g. for $\rho\pi$, $\pi\pi$ …

- **Charged B – time integrated asymmetry (no mixing); also used for some B^0 results (e.g. $K^0\pi^0$)**

Searching for direct CPV

- Large A_{CP} requires amplitudes of similar order
  - b→u: suppressed tree: charmless decays
    - large predicted A_{CP}
  - b→s,d: penguins: radiative decays
    - small predicted A_{CP}

- Understand penguins
- Access to α and γ



- Sensitive to New Physics effects via loops
  - minimal SUGRA: $B \to X_s\gamma$, ϕK^+, $K^0\pi^+$ …
  - R-parity Violating SUSY: ϕK_S …
  - SUSY searches – $K^*\gamma$

Experimental Issues

- small branching fractions
  - large continuum background (u,d,s,c)
  - other B background

- charge bias
  - detector: trigger, tracking; reconstruction
  - event selection, particle ID, analysis

small systematic error:

- Proof of principle
  - A_{CP} in $J/\psi\pi$, $J/\psi K$ PRD **65** 091101 (2002)
  - $A_{J/\psi\pi} = 0.01 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.01$
  - $A_{J/\psi K} = 0.003 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.004$

few per mille

Event Selection Techniques

Use beam energy to constrain mass & energy

$$\Delta E = E_B - E^*_{beam}$$

$$m_{ES} = \sqrt{(E^*_{beam})^2 - P_B^2}$$

ΔE σ ~ 15-80 MeV; larger with neutrals





Event Selection Techniques

- B events are spherical
- u,d,s,c is jet-like



- Fisher Discriminants
- Thrust
- Sphericity
- Fox-Wolfram moments
- flavour-tagging (e, μ, K, slow π from other B)



- Maximum Likelihood fits or cut based analysis
- off-resonance & ΔE sidebands are used to parameterise light quark background

RESULTS

Two body decays

can measure

- α eg. $B \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^0$, $\pi^0\pi^0$ **see Jim Olsen's talk**
- γ eg. $B \to K^+\pi^-$, $K^0\pi^+$ using Fleischer Mannel bound

A_{CP} can be sizeable





Plots have an optimised cut on likelihood ratio

Preliminary

New results → ~88×10⁶ B pairs

~60×10⁶ B pairs

Mode	N_{EVENTS}	Branching ratio (×10^{-6})	A_{CP}
$B^0 \to K^+\pi^-$	589 ± 30	$(17.9 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.6)$	$-0.102 \pm 0.050 \pm 0.016$
$B^+ \to K^+\pi^0$	$239 \pm 22 \pm 6$	$(12.8 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.0)$	$-0.09 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.01$
$B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$	$125^{+23}_{-21} \pm 10$	$(5.5 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.6)$	$-0.03 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.02$
$B^0 \to K^0\pi^0$	$86 \pm 13 \pm 3$	$(10.4 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.8)$	$0.03 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.09$
$B^0 \to K^+K^-$	< 15.9	<0.6 (90% C.L.)	-
$B^+ \to K^+K^0$	< 10	<1.3 (90% C.L.)	-
$B^+ \to K^0\pi^+$	$172 \pm 17 \pm 9$	$(17.5 \pm 1.8 \pm 1.3)$	$-0.17 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.02$

← 5% ΔA_{CP}

hep-ex/0206053

All upper limits are @ 90% C.L.

$B \to hhh$, $h = \pi, K$

Motivation

- measure γ using a full Dalitz plot
- look for direct CPV in charge asymmetry

Method

- cut based analysis across the Dalitz plot for branching ratio:



$$Br(B \to f) = \frac{1}{N_{B\bar{B}}} \sum_i \frac{(N_{OBSERVED} - N_{udsc} - N_{bkgd})}{\varepsilon_i}$$

- B backgrounds: J/ψK, Dπ/DK, X-feed; D→ππ, Kπ, KK
  - subtract open charm from J/ψ, $\psi_{(2s)}$ and D^0
- measure $Br(B^- \to D^0 \pi^-)$ as a cross check


B→KKK
$m^2(K^+K^-)_{max}$ (GeV/c^2)^2
$m^2(K^+K^-)_{min}$ (GeV/c^2)^2


B→KKK
Preliminary
qq̄
Entries/(2 MeV/c^2)
m_{ES} (GeV/c^2)


B→Kππ
D⁰
ψ(2s)
J/ψ
$m^2(K^+\pi^-)$ (GeV/c^2)^2
$m^2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ (GeV/c^2)^2


B→Kππ
BB̄
qq̄
Entries/(2 MeV/c^2)
m_{ES} (GeV/c^2)

56.2×10^6 B pairs

Branching fractions measured across the Dalitz plot

$$Br(B^\pm \to K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^\pm) = (59.2 \pm 4.7 \pm 4.9)\times 10^{-6}$$

$$Br(B^\pm \to K^\pm K^\mp K^\pm) = (34.7 \pm 2.0 \pm 1.8)\times 10^{-6}$$

$$Br(B^\pm \to \pi^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^\pm) < 15\times 10^{-6} \quad \text{(90\% C.L.)}$$

$$Br(B^\pm \to K^\pm K^\mp\pi^\pm) < 7\times 10^{-6} \quad \text{(90\% C.L.)}$$

- Main systematic uncertainties
  - PID 3 ~ 6%
  - tracking 2.4%

$B \to \eta' K^{(*)}$, ωK, $\omega \pi^{\pm}$

- $B \to \eta' K^{(*)}$, suppressed tree; A_{CP} 4~30% (theory) sensitive to γ
- $B \to \omega K$, $\omega \pi^{\pm}$, penguin; A_{CP} ~6% (theory) sensitive to α, γ

$\sim 60 \times 10^6 \, B \;\; pairs$ preliminary

$Br(B^{\pm} \to \eta' K^{+}) = (67 \pm 5 \pm 5) \times 10^{-6}$

$Br(B^{0} \to \eta' K^{0}) = (46 \pm 6 \pm 4) \times 10^{-6}$

$Br(B^{0} \to \eta' K^{*0}) < 13 \times 10^{-6}$

$\sim 22.7 \times 10^6 \, B \;\; pairs$

$Br(B^{0} \to \omega \pi^{\pm}) = (6.6^{+2.1}_{-1.8} \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$

$\sim 22.7 \times 10^6 \, B \;\; pairs$

$A_{CP}(B^{\pm} \to \eta' K^{+}) = -0.11 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.02$

$A_{CP}(B^{\pm} \to \omega \pi^{+}) = -0.01^{+0.29}_{-0.31} \pm 0.03$



PRD **65** (2002) 051101

Preliminary

$B^0 \to \omega K^0$

~60×10⁶ B pairs

First Observation!

6.6 σ significance (statistical)

Signal yield = $26.6^{+7.7}_{-6.6}$ events

$$Br(B^0 \to \omega K^0) = (5.9^{+1.7}_{-1.5} \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-6}$$

- penguin
- sensitive to α, γ
- expect small A_{CP}

- ML fit
- dominant systematic uncertainty:
  - background shape
  - neutral eff
  - tracking



$B \to \phi K^{(*)}, \phi\pi$

Motivation:

- A_{CP} ~2% in SM
- New Physics (A_{CP} up to 30%)
- sin2β if no new physics

see Doug Wright's talk



PRD **65** (2002) 051101

$\sim 22.7\times10^6\ B\ pairs$

$A_{CP}(B^\pm \to \varphi K^\pm) = -0.05 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.03$

$A_{CP}(B^\pm \to \varphi K^{*\pm}) = -0.43^{+0.36}_{-0.30} \pm 0.06$

$A_{CP}(B^0 \to \varphi K^{*0}) = 0.00 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.03$



$\sim 22.7\times10^6\ B\ pairs$ PRL **87** 151801 (2001)

$Br(B^\pm \to \varphi K^{*\pm}) = (9.7 \pm^{+4.2}_{-3.4} \pm 1.7)\times10^{-6}$

$Br(B^0 \to \varphi K^{*0}) = (8.6^{+2.8}_{-2.4} \pm 1.1)\times10^{-6}$

$\sim 60\times10^6\ B\ pairs$

$Br(B^\pm \to \varphi K^\pm) = (9.2 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.8)\times10^{-6}$

$Br(B^0 \to \varphi K^0_S) = (8.7^{+1.7}_{-1.5} \pm 0.9)\times10^{-6}$

$Br(B^\pm \to \varphi\pi^\pm) < 0.56\times10^{-6}\ (90\%\ C.L.)$

preliminary

see Erich Varnes' talk

$B^- \to D^0_{(CP)} K^-$

- *A_{CP} – search for direct CPV*
- access to γ

$$A(B^+ \to \overline{D}^0 K^+) = |\overline{A}| e^{i\delta_1} \quad (V_{cb}^* V_{us})$$
$$A(B^+ \to D^0 K^+) = |A| e^{i\delta_2} e^{i\gamma} \quad (V_{ub}^* V_{cs})$$

D° reconstructed:
- in Kπ, Kππ°, Kπππ
- in **K^+K^-** (CP=+1 eigenstate)

$$R \equiv \frac{Br(B^- \to D^0 K^-)}{Br(B^- \to D^0 \pi^-)} = (8.31 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.20)\%$$

$$A_{CP} \equiv \frac{Br(B^- \to D^0_{CP} K^-) - Br(B^+ \to D^0_{CP} K^+)}{Br(B^- \to D^0_{CP} K^-) + Br(B^+ \to D^0_{CP} K^+)} = 0.17 \pm 0.23^{+0.09}_{-0.07}$$

$B \rightarrow K^*\gamma$

~22.7×10^6 B pairs

Phys Rev Lett **88** 101805 (2002)

- A_{cp} ≤1% in SM.
- SUSY can enhance to ~20%



Reconstruct

$$K^* \rightarrow K^+\pi^-, K^+\pi^0, K_s\pi^0, K_s\pi^+$$



$$Br(B^0 \rightarrow K^*\gamma) = (4.23 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-5}$$

$$Br(B^+ \rightarrow K^{*+}\gamma) = (3.83 \pm 0.62 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-5}$$

$A_{CP}(B \rightarrow K^*\gamma) = -0.044 \pm 0.076 \pm 0.012$

[-0.170, 0.082] (90% C.L.)

Other results: see talk on α

~88×10⁶ B pairs

$B^0 \to \rho\pi,\ B^0 \to \rho K$

$$A_{CP}^{\rho K} = 0.19 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.11$$
$$A_{CP}^{\rho\pi} = -0.22 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.07$$
$$C_{\rho\pi} = 0.45^{+0.18}_{-0.19} \pm 0.09$$
$$S_{\rho\pi} = 0.16 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.07$$

$$\Delta C_{\rho\pi} = 0.38^{+0.19}_{-0.20} \pm 0.11$$
$$\Delta S_{\rho\pi} = 0.15 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.05$$

Factorization predicts 0.4



$B \to \pi\pi$

α via isospin analysis and direct CPV from time evolution of $B \to \pi^+\pi^-$

$$C_{\pi\pi} = -0.30 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.04$$
$$S_{\pi\pi} = 0.02 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.05$$

$Br(B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-) = (4.7 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$
$Br(B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0) = (5.5 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$
$Br(B^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0) < 3.6\ 10^{-6}$

Preliminary

Summary and outlook

- First CP results from $B^0 \to \rho\pi$
- Branching fractions and A_{CP} measured in many decays
- A_{CP} precision achieved 5~20%
- No direct CPV signal yet …
- watch for future updates